



Cenovus Energy Inc.

Annual Information Form

For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

February 15, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION	1
CORPORATE STRUCTURE	3
GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS	3
DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS	6
Oil Sands	6
Conventional	9
Refining and Marketing	11
RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION	12
Disclosure of Reserves Data	13
Development of Proved and Probable Undeveloped Reserves	18
Significant Factors or Uncertainties Affecting Reserves Data	19
Other Oil and Gas Information	19
OTHER INFORMATION	24
Competitive Conditions	24
Environmental Considerations	24
Corporate Responsibility	25
Employees	25
Foreign Operations	25
DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	26
AUDIT COMMITTEE	30
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE	32
DIVIDENDS	34
MARKET FOR SECURITIES	34
RISK FACTORS	34
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS	47
INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS	47
MATERIAL CONTRACTS	47
INTERESTS OF EXPERTS	47
TRANSFER AGENTS AND REGISTRARS	48
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	48
ABBREVIATIONS AND CONVERSIONS	48
APPENDIX A - Report on Reserves Data by Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluators	A1
APPENDIX B - Report of Management and Directors on Reserves Data and Other Information	B1
APPENDIX C - Audit Committee Mandate	C1
APPENDIX D - Netback Reconciliations	D1

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

In this Annual Information Form (“AIF”), unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, references to “we”, “us”, “our”, “its”, “the Corporation” or “Cenovus” mean Cenovus Energy Inc., the subsidiaries of, and partnership interests held by, Cenovus Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries.

This AIF contains forward-looking statements and other information (collectively “forward-looking information”) about Cenovus’s current expectations, estimates and projections, made in light of the Corporation’s experience and perception of historical trends. This forward-looking information is identified by words such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “expect”, “estimate”, “plan”, “forecast” or “F”, “future”, “target”, “position”, “project”, “capacity”, “could”, “should”, “focus”, “goal”, “outlook”, “proposed”, “potential”, “may”, “strategy”, “forward”, “opportunity”, “schedule”, “on track” or similar expressions and includes suggestions of future outcomes, including statements about: Cenovus’s strategy and related milestones and schedules including with respect to the development and growth of our business and operations; projected future value; projections for 2017 and future years; forecast operating and financial results, including forecast sales prices and costs; planned capital expenditures, including the amount, timing and financing thereof; annual capital investment forecasts and plans with respect thereto; techniques expected to be used to recover reserves and forecasts of the timing thereof; future abandonment and reclamation costs and the timing of payments in relation thereto; expected recovery of income taxes; potential impacts of various identified risk factors; expected future production, including the timing, stability or growth thereof; expected reserves and related information, including future net revenue and future development costs; broadening market access; expected capacities, including for projects, transportation and refining; improving cost structures, forecast cost savings and the sustainability thereof; dividend plans and strategy; anticipated timelines for future regulatory, partner or internal approvals; future impact of regulatory measures; forecast commodity prices and trends and expected impacts to Cenovus; and future use and development of technology, including expected effects on environmental impact. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information as the Corporation’s actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied.

Developing forward-looking information involves reliance on a number of assumptions and consideration of certain risks and uncertainties, some of which are specific to Cenovus and others that apply to the industry in general. The factors or assumptions on which the forward-looking information is based include: assumptions inherent in the Corporation’s current guidance, available at cenovus.com; projected capital investment levels, the flexibility of capital spending plans and the associated source of funding; estimates of quantities

of oil, bitumen, natural gas and natural gas liquids (“NGLs”) from properties and other sources not currently classified as proved; Cenovus’s ability to obtain necessary regulatory and partner approvals; the successful and timely implementation of capital projects or stages thereof; Cenovus’s ability to generate sufficient cash to meet its current and future obligations; and other risks and uncertainties described from time to time in the filings the Corporation makes with securities regulatory authorities.

The risk factors and uncertainties that could cause Cenovus’s actual results to differ materially include: volatility of and other assumptions regarding oil and gas prices; the effectiveness of the Corporation’s risk management program, including the impact of derivative financial instruments, the success of Cenovus’s hedging strategies and the sufficiency of the Corporation’s liquidity position; the accuracy of cost estimates; commodity prices, currency and interest rates; product supply and demand; market competition, including from alternative energy sources; risks inherent in Cenovus’s marketing operations, including credit risks; exposure to counterparties and partners, including ability and willingness of such parties to satisfy contractual obligations in a timely manner; risks inherent in operation of our crude-by-rail terminal, including health, safety and environmental risks; maintaining desirable ratios of debt (and net debt) to adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization as well as debt (and net debt) to capitalization; the Corporation’s ability to access various sources of debt and equity capital, generally, and on terms acceptable to the Corporation; Cenovus’s ability to finance growth and sustaining capital expenditures; changes in credit ratings applicable to Cenovus or any of Cenovus’s securities; changes to Cenovus’s dividend plans or strategy, including the dividend reinvestment plan; accuracy of Cenovus’s reserves, resources and future production expense and future net revenue estimates; the Corporation’s ability to replace and expand oil and gas reserves; Cenovus’s ability to maintain its relationship with its partners and to successfully manage and operate its integrated business; reliability of the Corporation’s assets, including in order to meet production targets; potential disruption or unexpected technical difficulties in developing new products and manufacturing processes; the occurrence of unexpected events such as fires, severe weather conditions, explosions, blow-outs, equipment failures, transportation incidents and other accidents or similar events; refining and marketing margins; inflationary pressures on operating costs, including labour, natural gas and other energy sources used in oil sands processes; potential failure of new products to achieve acceptance in the market; unexpected cost increases or technical difficulties in constructing or modifying manufacturing or refining facilities; unexpected difficulties in producing, transporting or refining of crude oil into petroleum and chemical products; risks associated with

technology and its application to Cenovus's business; the timing and the costs of well and pipeline construction; the Corporation's ability to secure adequate and cost-effective product transportation, including sufficient pipeline, crude-by-rail, marine or alternate transportation, and including to address any gaps caused by constraints in the pipeline system; availability of, and Cenovus's ability to attract and retain, critical talent; changes in the regulatory framework in any of the locations in which Cenovus operates, including changes to the regulatory approval process and land-use designations, royalty, tax, environmental, greenhouse gas ("GHG"), carbon, climate change and other laws or regulations, or changes to the interpretation of such laws and regulations, as adopted or proposed, the impact thereof and the costs associated with compliance; the expected impact and timing of various accounting pronouncements, rule changes and standards on Cenovus's business, its financial results and its consolidated financial statements; changes in the

general economic, market and business conditions; the political and economic conditions in the countries in which the Corporation operates; the occurrence of unexpected events such as war, terrorist threats and the instability resulting therefrom; and risks associated with existing and potential future lawsuits and regulatory actions against Cenovus.

Readers are cautioned that the foregoing lists are not exhaustive and are made as at the date hereof. For a full discussion of Cenovus's material risk factors, see "Risk Factors" in this AIF. Readers should also refer to "Risk Management" in the Corporation's current Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") and to the risk factors described in other documents Cenovus files from time to time with securities regulatory authorities, available on SEDAR at sedar.com, on EDGAR at sec.gov and on the Corporation's website at cenovus.com.

Information on or connected to our website cenovus.com does not form part of this AIF.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Cenovus Energy Inc. was formed under the *Canada Business Corporations Act* ("CBCA") by amalgamation of 7050372 Canada Inc. ("7050372") and Cenovus Energy Inc. (formerly Encana Finance Ltd. and referred to as "Subco") on November 30, 2009 pursuant to an arrangement under the CBCA (the "Arrangement") involving, among others, 7050372, Subco and Encana Corporation ("Encana"). On January 1, 2011, Cenovus Energy Inc. amalgamated with its wholly owned subsidiary,

Cenovus Marketing Holdings Ltd., through a plan of arrangement approved by the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta. On July 31, 2015, Cenovus Energy Inc. amalgamated with its wholly owned subsidiary, 9281584 Canada Limited (formerly 1528419 Alberta Ltd.), by way of a vertical short-form amalgamation.

The Corporation's head and registered office is located at 2600, 500 Centre Street S.E., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2G 1A6.

INTERCORPORATE RELATIONSHIPS

Cenovus's material subsidiaries and partnerships as at December 31, 2016 are as follows:

Subsidiaries & Partnerships	Percentage Owned⁽¹⁾	Jurisdiction of Incorporation, Continuance, Formation or Organization
Cenovus FCCL Ltd.	100	Alberta
Cenovus Energy Marketing Services Ltd.	100	Alberta
Cenovus US Holdings Inc.	100	Delaware
FCCL Partnership ("FCCL") ⁽²⁾	50	Alberta
WRB Refining LP ("WRB") ⁽³⁾	50	Delaware

(1) Reflects all voting securities of all subsidiaries and partnerships beneficially owned, or controlled or directed, directly or indirectly, by Cenovus.

(2) Cenovus interest held through Cenovus FCCL Ltd., the operator and managing partner of FCCL.

(3) Cenovus non-operating interest held through Cenovus American Holdings Ltd. and Cenovus US Holdings Inc.

The Corporation's remaining subsidiaries and partnerships each account for (i) less than 10 percent of the Corporation's consolidated assets as at December 31, 2016 and (ii) less than 10 percent of the Corporation's consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2016. In aggregate, Cenovus's unidentified subsidiaries and partnerships did not exceed 20 percent of the Corporation's total consolidated assets or total consolidated revenues as at and for the year ended December 31, 2016.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

Cenovus is an integrated oil company headquartered in Calgary, Alberta. The Corporation began independent operations on December 1, 2009 following the split of Encana into two independent publicly traded energy companies. Cenovus is in the business of developing, producing and marketing crude oil, NGLs and natural gas in Canada. Cenovus also conducts marketing activities and owns refining interests in the United States ("U.S.").

All of Cenovus's oil and natural gas reserves and production are located in Canada, within the provinces of Alberta and Saskatchewan. As at December 31, 2016, Cenovus had a land base of approximately 5.3 million net acres. The estimated proved reserves life index based on working interest production as at December 31, 2016 was approximately 27 years.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Corporation's reportable segments are as follows:

Oil Sands

Cenovus's oil sands segment includes the development and production of bitumen and natural gas in northeast Alberta. Our bitumen assets include Foster Creek, Christina Lake and Narrows Lake as well as projects in the early stages of development, such as Grand Rapids and Telephone Lake. Certain of Cenovus's operated oil sands properties, notably Foster Creek, Christina Lake and Narrows Lake, are jointly owned with ConocoPhillips, an unrelated U.S. public company.

Conventional

Cenovus's conventional segment includes the development and production of conventional crude oil⁽¹⁾, NGLs and natural gas⁽²⁾ in Alberta and Saskatchewan, including the heavy oil⁽³⁾ assets at Pelican Lake, the carbon dioxide ("CO₂") enhanced oil recovery ("EOR") project at Weyburn and emerging tight oil opportunities.

(1) For the purpose of this AIF, references to "crude oil" means "heavy crude oil" and "light crude oil and medium crude oil combined" as those terms are defined in National Instrument 51-101 Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities ("NI 51-101").

(2) For the purpose of this AIF, references to "natural gas" means "conventional natural gas" as defined in NI 51-101.

(3) For the purpose of this AIF, references to "heavy oil" means "heavy crude oil" as defined in NI 51-101.

THREE YEAR HISTORY

The following describes significant events that have influenced the development of Cenovus's business during the last three financial years:

2014

- **Regulatory approval received for Grand Rapids.** In the first quarter, Cenovus received regulatory approval for its Grand Rapids thermal oil sands project with an approved gross production capacity of up to 180,000 barrels per day.
- **Prepayment of Partnership contribution payable.** In the first quarter, Cenovus prepaid its US\$2.7 billion partnership contribution payable to WRB, of which Cenovus is a 50 percent owner. This resulted in a net cash payment of approximately US\$1.35 billion from Cenovus.
- **Divestiture of non-core assets.** In the second quarter, Cenovus completed the sale of certain of its Bakken assets to an unrelated third party for net proceeds of \$35 million. In the third quarter, Cenovus completed the sale of certain Wainwright properties to an unrelated third party for net proceeds of \$234 million.
- **First production from Foster Creek phase F.** In the third quarter, Foster Creek phase F achieved first oil production. Phase F added 30,000 barrels per day of gross production capacity.
- **Increased rail takeaway capacity.** In 2014, Cenovus entered long-term commitments increasing rail takeaway capacity to 30,000 barrels per day.
- **Regulatory approval received for Foster Creek phase J.** In the fourth quarter, Cenovus received regulatory approval for Foster Creek phase J with approved gross production capacity of 50,000 barrels per day.
- **Regulatory approval received for Telephone Lake.** In the fourth quarter, Cenovus received regulatory approval for its 100 percent owned Telephone Lake thermal oil sands project with initial production capacity of 90,000 barrels per day. The project is expected to have gross production capacity in excess of 300,000 barrels per day.

Refining and Marketing

Cenovus's refining and marketing segment includes transporting and selling crude oil and natural gas and joint ownership of two refineries in the U.S. with the operator, Phillips 66, an unrelated U.S. public company. In addition, Cenovus owns and operates a crude-by-rail terminal in Alberta. This segment coordinates Cenovus's marketing and transportation initiatives to optimize product mix, delivery points, transportation commitments and customer diversification.

Corporate and Eliminations

This segment primarily includes unrealized gains and losses recorded on derivative financial instruments and gains and losses on divestiture of assets, as well as other Cenovus-wide costs for general and administrative ("G&A"), financing activities and research costs. As financial instruments are settled, the realized gains and losses are recorded in the operating segment to which the derivative instrument relates. Eliminations relate to sales and operating revenues and purchased product between segments, recorded at transfer prices based on current market prices, and to unrealized intersegment profits in inventory.

2015

- **Reduced capital spending.** Due to the low commodity price environment, Cenovus reduced its 2015 capital spending, including suspension of the bulk of its conventional drilling program in southern Alberta and Saskatchewan and deferral of further construction work on Foster Creek phase H, Christina Lake phase G and Narrows Lake phase A.
- **Common share issuance.** In the first quarter, Cenovus issued 67.5 million common shares at a price of \$22.25 per share for net proceeds of approximately \$1.4 billion, a portion of which contributed to funding the Corporation's capital investment in 2015.
- **Permit approval received at Wood River Refinery.** In the first quarter, permit approval was received on the Wood River Refinery debottlenecking project.
- **Sale of royalty interest and mineral fee title lands business.** In the third quarter, Cenovus sold its wholly owned subsidiary, Heritage Royalty Limited Partnership ("HRP"), which held approximately 4.8 million gross acres of royalty interest and mineral fee title lands in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba along with gross overriding royalties on Cenovus's Pelican Lake property in northern Alberta and its EOR project at Weyburn, Saskatchewan to an unrelated third party for gross cash proceeds of \$3.3 billion, a portion of which was used to help fund the Corporation's capital investment in 2015. Associated third party royalty interest volumes prior to the divestiture were approximately 6,580 barrels of oil equivalent per day.
- **Rail terminal purchase.** In the third quarter, Cenovus purchased a crude-by-rail terminal located in Bruderheim, Alberta for \$75 million, plus closing adjustments.
- **Cost reductions.** Cenovus achieved total 2015 cost savings of approximately \$540 million, including operating, capital and G&A costs compared with its original 2015 budget. The cost reductions were achieved across the Corporation and included savings related to improved drilling efficiency, optimized scheduling and prioritization of repair and maintenance activities, lower chemical costs and improved oil sands waste disposal and handling processes. Additional savings resulted from the deferral of certain capital expenditure projects.

- **Workforce reductions.** Cenovus reduced its workforce by approximately 1,500 staff, including full- and part-time employees as well as contract workers. As at December 31, 2015, the Company had approximately 24 percent fewer employee and contractor workforce than it had at December 31, 2014.
- **Completed Christina Lake optimization.** In the fourth quarter, the Christina Lake optimization program began steam circulation, adding 22,000 barrels per day gross production capacity, taking total gross production capacity to 160,000 barrels per day.
- **Regulatory approval received for Christina Lake phase H.** In the fourth quarter, Cenovus received regulatory approval for Christina Lake phase H with approved gross production capacity of 50,000 barrels per day.

2016

- **Reduced spending.** Cenovus achieved its 2016 target of reducing planned capital, operating and G&A spending by \$500 million compared with its original 2016 budget.
- **Workforce reductions.** In the second quarter, Cenovus further reduced its workforce by approximately 440 staff.
- **First production from Foster Creek phase G.** In the third quarter, Foster Creek phase G achieved first oil production. Phase G is expected to add 30,000 barrels per day of gross production capacity.
- **Wood River debottlenecking project completed.** In the third quarter, the Wood River debottlenecking project was successfully completed.
- **First production from Christina Lake phase F.** In the fourth quarter, Christina Lake phase F achieved first oil production. Phase F is expected to add 50,000 barrels per day of gross production capacity. The phase F expansion includes a 100 gross megawatt cogeneration plant.

2017

- **Resuming Christina Lake phase G expansion.** Cenovus anticipates it will resume the phase G expansion, which has an approved design capacity of 50,000 gross barrels per day. First oil from phase G is expected in the second half of 2019.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

OIL SANDS

Oil Sands includes Cenovus's bitumen assets at Foster Creek, Christina Lake and Narrows Lake, as well as emerging projects such as Grand Rapids and Telephone Lake. The Corporation's Athabasca natural gas assets also form part of this segment.

Joint Operations

Foster Creek, Christina Lake and Narrows Lake are jointly owned through FCCL with ConocoPhillips, an unrelated U.S. public company. Cenovus FCCL Ltd., Cenovus's wholly owned subsidiary, is the operator, managing partner and owner of 50 percent of FCCL. FCCL has a management committee, which is composed of three Cenovus representatives and three ConocoPhillips representatives, with each company holding equal voting rights.

Development Approach

Cenovus applies a manufacturing-like, phased approach to developing its oil sands assets. This approach incorporates learnings from previous phases into future growth plans, helping the Corporation to minimize costs.

New Technology

Cenovus continues to focus on technologies which are targeted to improve business performance and materially increase shareholder value amid continuing price uncertainty, a low carbon future,

increased environmental protection pressure and regulatory changes. Technology development is a critical necessity to stay competitive and to sustain a social licence to operate.

Cenovus collaborates with industry cleantech entrepreneurs and universities around the world with the goal of accelerating environmental and carbon emission solutions.

Efforts are focused on demonstrating a number of potentially impactful technologies. Specifically, efforts are focused on three major areas:

- Accelerate production and achieve significant GHG emissions intensity reduction by injecting solvents. Solvent-aided process ("SAP") is a technology that has the potential to significantly improve the steam to oil ratio ("SOR").
- Reduce diluent requirements and the total acid number ("TAN") of crude oil through the use of technologies such as partial upgrading. Partial upgrading technologies produce products which may significantly reduce costs associated with diluent purchase and transportation.
- Reduce costs of existing and future operations by using innovative facility design which simplify plant facilities and reduce environmental footprint.

Landholdings

As at December 31, 2016, Cenovus held bitumen rights of approximately 1.9 million gross acres (1.5 million net acres) within the Athabasca and Cold Lake areas, as well as the exclusive rights to lease an additional 478,000 acres on Cenovus's behalf and/or its assignee's behalf on the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range.

The following table summarizes Cenovus's Oil Sands landholdings as at December 31, 2016, all of which are located within the Province of Alberta:

(thousands of acres)	Developed Acreage		Undeveloped Acreage		Total Acreage		Average Working Interest ⁽¹⁾
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	
Foster Creek	16	8	114	57	130	65	50%
Christina Lake	9	5	50	25	59	30	50%
Narrows Lake	-	-	27	13	27	13	50%
Grand Rapids ⁽²⁾	-	-	61	61	61	61	100%
Telephone Lake	16	16	142	142	158	158	100%
Athabasca	384	345	448	380	832	725	87%
Other	28	10	1,537	1,252	1,565	1,262	81%
Total	453	384	2,379	1,930	2,832	2,314	82%

(1) Percentages represented in the above table cannot be calculated based on acreage shown due to rounding.

(2) Overlapping landholdings between Grand Rapids and Pelican Lake (included in the Conventional segment) have been allocated to Grand Rapids based on the project's approved development area.

Production

The following table summarizes Cenovus's share of daily average production for the periods indicated:

(annual average)	Bitumen (bbls/d)		Natural Gas (MMcf/d)		Total Production (BOE/d)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Foster Creek	70,244	65,345	-	-	70,244	65,345
Christina Lake	79,449	74,975	-	-	79,449	74,975
Athabasca ⁽¹⁾	-	-	17	19	2,833	3,167
Total	149,693	140,320	17	19	152,526	143,487

(1) Net of internal usage of natural gas used at Foster Creek to produce steam.

Producing Wells

The following table summarizes Cenovus's interests in producing wells as at December 31, 2016. These figures exclude wells which were capable of producing, but that were not producing as at December 31, 2016:

(number of wells)	Producing Bitumen Wells		Producing Gas Wells		Total Producing Wells	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Foster Creek	294	147	-	-	294	147
Christina Lake	196	98	-	-	196	98
Athabasca	-	-	293	279	293	279
Total	490	245	293	279	783	524

Foster Creek

Cenovus has a 50 percent working interest in Foster Creek. It is located on the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range, an active military base, and has a reservoir depth up to 500 meters below the surface. Foster Creek produces from the McMurray formation using steam-assisted gravity drainage ("SAGD") technology.

The Corporation holds surface access rights from the governments of Canada and Alberta and bitumen rights from the Government of Alberta for exploration, development and transportation from areas within the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range. In addition, Cenovus holds exclusive rights to lease several hundred thousand acres of bitumen rights in other areas on the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range on the Corporation's and/or its assignee's behalf.

Production from phases A through G at Foster Creek averaged 70,244 barrels per day in 2016. Phase G was completed in the third quarter of 2016. Phase G is expected to add approximately 30,000 gross barrels per day of nameplate capacity and ramp up to its operational capacity in approximately 12 months from start-up. Expansion work on phase H has been deferred in response to the low commodity price environment.

Cenovus operates a 98 gross megawatt natural gas-fired cogeneration facility in conjunction with Foster Creek. The steam and power generated by the facility is presently being used within the SAGD operation and any excess power generated is being sold into the Alberta Power Pool.

Christina Lake

Cenovus has a 50 percent working interest in Christina Lake. Christina Lake is located approximately 120 kilometers south of Fort McMurray and has a reservoir depth up to 350 meters below the surface. Christina Lake produces

from the McMurray formation using SAGD technology.

Production from phases A through F at Christina Lake averaged 79,449 barrels per day in 2016. Phase F was completed in the fourth quarter of 2016, and is expected to add approximately 50,000 gross barrels per day of nameplate capacity and ramp up to its operational capacity in approximately 12 months from start-up. This expansion includes a 100 gross megawatt natural gas-fired cogeneration facility. The steam and power generated by the facility is presently being used within the SAGD operation and any excess power generated is being sold into the Alberta Power Pool. Cenovus plans to resume work on the phase G expansion in 2017, which was deferred in late 2014 due to the low commodity price environment. Phase G has an approved design capacity of 50,000 gross barrels per day and first oil from the expansion is expected in the second half of 2019.

Narrows Lake

Cenovus has a 50 percent working interest in Narrows Lake. Narrows Lake is located adjacent to Christina Lake and has a reservoir depth up to 375 meters below the surface. Narrows Lake will be Cenovus's first commercial application of SAP in conjunction with SAGD.

In 2012, Cenovus received regulatory approval for phases A, B and C for 130,000 gross barrels per day of production capacity and partner approval for phase A, a 45,000 gross barrels per day phase. Initial work on phase A commenced in the third quarter of 2013. Due to the low commodity price environment, Cenovus has deferred new construction spending on phase A. It is expected that the future development of Narrows Lake will benefit from the existing infrastructure and resources at Christina Lake, which is expected to lower overall costs.

Telephone Lake

Cenovus's 100 percent owned Telephone Lake property is located in the Borealis Region in northeastern Alberta, approximately 90 kilometers northeast of Fort McMurray.

Cenovus continues to advance development plans for Telephone Lake after receiving approval from the Alberta Energy Regulator ("AER") in late 2014 for a SAGD project with initial production capacity of 90,000 barrels per day.

Telephone Lake is a unique oil sands project because directly above the oil there is a layer of groundwater that is not suitable for human consumption without treatment (referred to as top water). The top water layer is between 150 and 175 meters below the surface. In 2013, Cenovus completed a dewatering pilot project at Telephone Lake displacing approximately 70 percent of the top water. Although dewatering is not essential to the development of Telephone Lake, Cenovus believes this method will make oil recovery more efficient and help reduce its impact on the environment by reducing the SOR.

Grand Rapids

Cenovus's 100 percent owned Grand Rapids property is located in the Greater Pelican Region, about 300 kilometers north of Edmonton, Alberta. The project is adjacent to the Corporation's Pelican Lake heavy oil operations and existing facilities.

In December 2010, the Corporation drilled its first pilot SAGD well pair at Grand Rapids. A second well pair was drilled in early 2012 and a third well pair commenced steam circulation in 2015.

In March 2014, Cenovus received regulatory approval from the AER for its Grand Rapids SAGD project with total production capacity of 180,000 barrels per day. As of February 2016, further activity in respect of the SAGD pilot at Grand Rapids has been deferred in response to the low commodity price environment.

Other Emerging Assets

Cenovus has a number of emerging assets, including the Steepbank and East McMurray properties located in the Borealis Region in northeastern Alberta, which it continues to evaluate, manage and work to decrease risk associated with potential future development of these assets. Cenovus continues to believe in the long-term potential of its emerging projects as a future resource base.

Athabasca Gas

Cenovus produces natural gas from the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range and several surrounding landholdings located in northeastern Alberta. Cenovus holds surface access and natural gas rights

for exploration, development and transportation from areas within the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range that were granted by the governments of Canada and Alberta. The majority of the Corporation's natural gas production in the area is processed through compression facilities, wholly-owned and operated by Cenovus.

Natural gas production continues to be impacted by the AER's decisions made between 2003 and 2015 to shut-in natural gas production from the McMurray, Wabiskaw and Clearwater formations that may put the recovery of bitumen resources in the area at risk. This resulted in a decrease in the Corporation's annualized natural gas production of approximately 13 million cubic feet per day in 2016 (2015 - 14 million cubic feet per day). The Alberta Department of Energy has provided a 10 year royalty credit which can equal up to 50 percent of lost cash flows to help offset the impact of the shut-in wells. This royalty credit fluctuates with the price of natural gas.

Capital Investment

In 2016, the Corporation's Oil Sands capital investment was \$604 million, primarily related to sustaining existing production and the completion of the Foster Creek phase G and Christina Lake phase F facilities. The production capacity for these projects is approximately 390,000 gross barrels per day. Ramp up to full production volumes for these phases is expected to extend into 2017.

- Capital at Foster Creek was focused on sustaining capital related to existing production, completing expansion phase G and the drilling of stratigraphic test wells to determine pad placement for sustaining well pads and near-term phase expansions.
- Capital at Christina Lake was focused on sustaining capital related to existing production, completing expansion phase F and the drilling of stratigraphic test wells to determine pad placement for sustaining well pads and near-term phase expansions.
- Capital at Narrows Lake was focused on engineering work.
- Capital at Telephone Lake was focused on front end engineering work on the central processing facility.
- Capital at Grand Rapids was limited to the wind down of the SAGD pilot.

2017 capital spending is planned to be focused on sustaining current production levels from existing oil sands facilities and construction at Christina Lake phase G. Additional capital will be spent on existing and emerging oil sands assets.

CONVENTIONAL

Conventional operations include the development and production of conventional crude oil, NGLs and natural gas from assets in Alberta and Saskatchewan, including the heavy oil assets at Pelican Lake, the CO₂ EOR project near Weyburn, Saskatchewan and emerging tight oil assets in Alberta. The established assets in this segment are strategically important due to their long life reserves, stable operations and diversity of crude oil produced.

In July of 2015, Cenovus sold HRP, the holder of Cenovus's royalty interest and mineral fee title lands business in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba to an unrelated third party for gross cash proceeds of \$3.3 billion. Associated third party royalty interest volumes prior to the divestiture were approximately 6,580 barrels of oil equivalent per day. With this disposition Cenovus also retained an option to

acquire from HRP leases at pre-determined rates and lease terms for up to five years on more than 800,000 acres in zones of the fee lands currently being developed by Cenovus, with an option for a further five years on approximately 800,000 acres to select leases on half of the remaining undeveloped acreage.

At the beginning of 2015, Cenovus announced the suspension of the bulk of its conventional drilling program in southern Alberta due to the low commodity price environment. After a slight recovery in price, Cenovus resumed its tight oil program in the latter half of 2016 with the restart of stratigraphic test well and horizontal well drilling.

Conventional operations also include leases of Crown lands primarily in the Suffield and Pelican Lake areas and in Saskatchewan.

Landholdings

(thousands of acres)	Developed Acreage		Undeveloped Acreage		Total Acreage		Average Working Interest ⁽¹⁾
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	
Alberta							
Grassland ⁽²⁾	882	843	41	37	923	880	95%
Suffield	932	920	51	50	983	970	99%
Langevin ⁽³⁾	578	562	60	58	638	620	97%
Pelican Lake	95	94	248	241	343	335	98%
Wainwright	32	13	7	4	39	17	42%
Other	23	15	134	120	157	135	86%
Saskatchewan							
Weyburn	46	35	9	7	55	42	74%
Bakken	3	1	12	8	15	9	64%
Total	2,591	2,483	562	525	3,153	3,008	95%

(1) Percentages as represented in the above table cannot be calculated based on acreage shown due to rounding.

(2) Grassland is located in the Drumheller and Brooks areas.

(3) Langevin is located northwest of Medicine Hat.

Production

The following table summarizes Cenovus's share of daily average production⁽¹⁾ for the periods indicated:

(annual average)	Crude Oil and NGLs (bbls/d)		Natural Gas (MMcf/d)		Total Production (BOE/d)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Alberta						
Grassland ⁽²⁾	5,913	7,248	193	212	38,080	42,581
Suffield	7,724	8,854	112	125	26,391	29,687
Langevin ⁽³⁾	6,055	8,025	72	84	18,055	22,025
Pelican Lake	21,224	24,421	-	-	21,224	24,421
Wainwright	253	1,638	-	1	253	1,805
Other	4	10	-	-	4	10
Saskatchewan						
Weyburn	14,969	15,732	-	-	14,969	15,732
Bakken	23	699	-	-	23	699
Total	56,165	66,627	377	422	118,999	136,960

(1) Includes production from mineral fee title lands in which Cenovus has a working interest and mineral fee title lands in which Cenovus has retained a royalty interest. In the third quarter of 2015, Cenovus sold those royalty interests.

(2) Grassland is located in the Drumheller and Brooks areas.

(3) Langevin is located northwest of Medicine Hat.

Producing Wells

The following table summarizes Cenovus's interests in producing wells⁽¹⁾ as at December 31, 2016. These figures exclude wells which were capable of producing, but that were not producing, as at December 31, 2016:

(number of wells)	Producing Oil Wells		Producing Gas Wells		Total Producing Wells	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Alberta						
Grassland ⁽²⁾	362	356	8,733	8,591	9,095	8,947
Suffield	684	684	10,623	10,605	11,307	11,289
Langevin ⁽³⁾	273	271	4,765	4,754	5,038	5,025
Pelican Lake	585	585	1	1	586	586
Wainwright	9	6	5	1	14	7
Other	10	5	1	-	11	5
Saskatchewan						
Weyburn	637	401	-	-	637	401
Bakken	8	1	-	-	8	1
Total	2,568	2,309	24,128	23,952	26,696	26,261

(1) Includes wells on mineral fee title lands where Cenovus has a working interest.

(2) Grassland is located in the Drumheller and Brooks areas.

(3) Langevin is located northwest of Medicine Hat.

Conventional Crude Oil Assets

Cenovus's extensive conventional crude oil assets are located in Alberta and Saskatchewan. Cenovus holds interests in multiple zones in the Suffield, Grassland and Langevin areas in Alberta with a mix of medium and heavy crude oil production. Cenovus uses a number of EOR techniques to increase production of the Corporation's oil assets, including waterflooding, CO₂ miscible flooding and alkaline surfactant polymer flooding.

Cenovus operates one of the world's largest CO₂ miscible flood projects. The Weyburn unit produces medium sour crude oil and covers approximately 50,000 acres of land in southeastern Saskatchewan. As at December 31, 2016, approximately 64 percent of the approved CO₂ flood pattern development at the Weyburn unit was complete. Since the inception of the project, approximately 30 million tonnes of CO₂ have been injected. The CO₂ is delivered by pipeline directly to the Weyburn facility from a coal gasification project in North Dakota, U.S. and from

the Boundary Dam Power Station in southeast Saskatchewan. In the unitized portion of the Weyburn field in southeastern Saskatchewan, Cenovus has a 62.1 percent working interest. However, after taking into consideration net royalty obligations to third parties, Cenovus's economic interest is 50.4 percent. Cenovus is the unit operator and owns 62.1 percent of the CO₂ pipeline from the Boundary Dam to Weyburn.

Using a patterned, horizontal well polymer flood and waterflood, Cenovus produces heavy crude oil from the Wabiskaw formation at its Pelican Lake property. The property is located within the Greater Pelican Region in northeastern Alberta. Cenovus holds a 38 percent non-operated interest in a 110 kilometer, 20 inch diameter crude oil pipeline which connects the Pelican Lake area to major pipelines that transport crude oil from northern Alberta to crude oil markets.

Net Wells Drilled and Production

The following table summarizes net production oil wells drilled and daily average oil production figures⁽¹⁾ for the periods indicated:

	Net Wells Drilled		Average Production ⁽²⁾ (bbls/d)			
	2016	2015	Light & Medium Oil		Heavy Oil	
			2016	2015	2016	2015
Alberta						
Grassland ⁽³⁾	2	15	5,359	6,632	-	-
Suffield	-	1	-	-	7,707	8,837
Langevin ⁽⁴⁾	6	12	5,939	7,858	-	-
Wainwright	-	-	-	1	253	1,630
Pelican Lake	-	-	-	-	21,224	24,421
Other	-	-	2	10	1	-
Saskatchewan						
Weyburn	1	6	14,593	15,343	-	-
Bakken	-	-	22	642	-	-
Total	9	34	25,915	30,486	29,185	34,888

(1) Excludes wells drilled by third parties on mineral fee title lands. In the third quarter of 2015, Cenovus sold those fee lands.

(2) Includes production from mineral fee title lands in which Cenovus has a working interest and mineral fee title lands in which Cenovus had retained a royalty interest. In the third quarter of 2015, Cenovus sold those fee lands.

(3) Grassland landholdings are located in the Drumheller and Brooks areas.

(4) Langevin landholdings are located northwest of Medicine Hat.

Conventional Gas Assets

Cenovus holds natural gas interests in multiple zones in the Suffield, Grassland and Langevin areas in Alberta. Development in these areas has focused on recompletions and optimization of existing wells.

Suffield is one of the core areas of the Corporation's crude oil and natural gas production in Alberta. The Suffield area is largely made up of the Suffield Block, where operations are carried out pursuant to an agreement among Cenovus, the Government of Canada and the Province of Alberta governing surface access to Canadian Forces Base ("CFB") Suffield. In 1999, the parties agreed to permit access to the Suffield military training area to additional operators. Cenovus's predecessor companies, Alberta Energy Company Ltd. and Encana, have operated at CFB Suffield for over 30 years.

REFINING AND MARKETING

Refining and Marketing reflects U.S. refining interests and coordinates Cenovus's marketing and transportation initiatives to optimize the value received for its products.

Refining

The refining operations allow Cenovus to capture the value from crude oil production through to refined products, such as diesel, gasoline and jet fuel, to partially mitigate volatility associated with regional North American light/heavy crude oil price differential fluctuations.

Through WRB, Cenovus has a 50 percent ownership interest in both the Wood River and Borger refineries located in Roxana, Illinois and Borger,

Texas, respectively. Phillips 66, an unrelated U.S. public company, is the operator and managing partner of WRB. WRB has a management committee, which is composed of three Cenovus representatives and three Phillips 66 representatives, with each company holding equal voting rights. The refineries have a combined stated processing capacity of approximately 460,000 gross barrels per day of crude oil, including heavy crude oil processing capability of up to 255,000 gross barrels per day. In addition, the Borger Refinery has an NGL fractionation facility with a capacity of 45,000 gross barrels per day.

In 2016, Conventional natural gas production averaged 377 MMcf per day (2015 – 422 MMcf per day). Cenovus did not drill any gas wells in 2016 or 2015.

Capital Investment

In 2016, the Corporation's Conventional capital investment was \$171 million, primarily related to stratigraphic drilling activity at our tight oil projects in southern Alberta and for maintenance and CO₂ injection at our EOR project at Weyburn. Spending on natural gas activities was allocated to a small number of higher return opportunities.

The following table summarizes the key operational results for the refineries in the periods indicated:

Refinery Operations ⁽¹⁾	2016	2015
Crude Oil Capacity (Mbbls/d)	460	460
Crude Oil Runs (Mbbls/d)	444	419
Heavy Oil	233	200
Light & Medium Oil	211	219
Crude Utilization (%)	97	91
Refined Products (Mbbls/d)		
Gasoline	236	228
Distillates	146	137
Other	90	79
Total	471	444

(1) Represents 100 percent of the Wood River and Borger Refinery operations.

Wood River Refinery

The Wood River Refinery ranks in the top 10 percent of approximately 150 refineries in the U.S., based on total crude oil capacity. It is located in Roxana, Illinois, approximately 25 kilometers northeast of St. Louis, Missouri. The Wood River Refinery processes light low-sulphur and heavy high-sulphur crude oil that it receives from North American crude oil pipelines to produce gasoline, diesel and jet fuel, petrochemical feedstock as well as coke and asphalt. The gasoline and diesel are transported via pipelines

to markets in the upper U.S. Midwest. Other products are transported via pipeline, truck, barge and railcar to markets in the U.S. Midwest.

The Wood River Refinery's stated crude oil processing capacity for 2016 was 314,000 gross barrels per day, and was unchanged from 2015. Since the completed coker construction and start-up of the coker and refinery expansion project, the Wood River Refinery increased its total Canadian heavy crude oil processing capacity up to 220,000 gross barrels per day. In 2016, almost two-thirds of

the crude oil processed at the Wood River Refinery consisted of Canadian heavy crude oil, including a significant proportion of high TAN crudes.

Borger Refinery

The Borger Refinery is located in Borger, Texas, approximately 80 kilometers north of Amarillo, Texas. The Borger Refinery processes mainly medium and heavy high-sulphur crude oil, and NGLs that it receives from North American pipeline systems to produce gasoline, diesel and jet fuel along with NGLs and solvents. The refined products are transported via pipelines to markets in Texas, New Mexico, Colorado and the U.S. Mid-Continent.

The Borger Refinery's stated oil processing capacity for 2016 was 146,000 gross barrels per day, including 35,000 gross barrels per day of heavy crude oil. The Borger Refinery also has an NGL fractionation facility with stated capacity of 45,000 gross barrels per day. The stated processing capacity is unchanged from 2015.

Marketing

Cenovus's marketing activities are focused on enhancing the price of the Corporation's crude oil and natural gas production, including third party purchases and sales of crude oil and natural gas to provide operational flexibility for transportation commitments, product quality, delivery points and customer diversification. Cenovus's marketing activities are focused on the sale of production, management of condensate supply and optimization of our storage and transportation commitments. The prices Cenovus receives are based primarily on

prevailing crude oil and natural gas index prices which are impacted by global and regional supply and demand factors.

Cenovus's marketing activities also include entering into various risk management contracts aimed at mitigating the impact of commodity price swings. Details of these transactions are provided in the notes to the Corporation's audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Transportation

We continue to focus on near- and mid-term strategies to broaden market access for our crude oil production. As at December 31, 2016, Cenovus has entered into various firm transportation and storage commitments totaling \$26 billion, \$19 billion of which relate to pipelines that are subject to regulatory approval or have been approved but are not yet in service. We continue to support proposed new pipeline projects that would connect us to new markets in the U.S. and globally. The Corporation's portfolio of transportation commitments includes feeder pipelines from its production areas to the Edmonton and Hardisty, Alberta trade centres and major pipeline alternatives to markets downstream of these hubs. Other transportation commitments are primarily related to the reliable supply of diluent, railcar transportation as well as tankage and terminalling of both crude oil blend and condensate volumes. Cenovus's transportation portfolio includes a crude-by-rail terminal located at Bruderheim, Alberta.

RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

As a Canadian issuer, Cenovus is subject to the reporting requirements of Canadian securities regulatory authorities, including the reporting of the Corporation's reserves in accordance with NI 51-101.

The Corporation's reserves are located in Alberta and Saskatchewan, Canada. Cenovus retained two independent qualified reserves evaluators ("IQREs"), McDaniel & Associates Consultants Ltd. ("McDaniel") and GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd. ("GLJ"), to evaluate and prepare reports on 100 percent of its bitumen, heavy oil, light and medium oil⁽¹⁾, NGLs, natural gas, and coal bed methane ("CBM") proved and probable reserves. McDaniel evaluated approximately 97 percent of Cenovus's proved reserves, located in Alberta, and GLJ evaluated approximately three percent of the Corporation's proved reserves, located in Saskatchewan.

The reserves committee (the "Reserves Committee") of Cenovus's board of directors (the "Board"), composed of independent directors, reviews the qualifications and appointment of the IQREs, the procedures relating to the disclosure of information with respect to oil and gas activities and the procedures for providing information to the IQREs. The Reserves Committee meets independently with management of Cenovus ("Management") and each

IQRE to determine whether any restrictions affect the ability of the IQREs to report on the reserves data without reservation. In addition, the Reserves Committee reviews the reserves data and the report of the IQREs and provides a recommendation regarding approval of the reserves disclosure to the Board.

Cenovus's bitumen reserves will be recovered and produced using SAGD technology. SAGD involves injecting steam into horizontal wells drilled into the bitumen formation and recovering heated bitumen and water from producing wells located below the injection wells. This technique has a surface footprint comparable to conventional oil production. Cenovus has no bitumen reserves that require mining techniques to recover the bitumen.

Classifications of reserves as proved or probable are only attempts to define the degree of certainty associated with the estimates. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of petroleum reserves. It should not be assumed that the estimates of future net revenues presented in the tables below represent the fair market value of the reserves. There is no assurance that the forecast prices and costs assumptions will be attained and variances could be material. Readers should review the definitions and information contained in

“Additional Notes to Reserves Data Tables”, “Definitions” and “Pricing Assumptions” in conjunction with the reserves disclosure. The reserves estimates provided herein are estimates only and there is no guarantee that the estimated reserves will be recovered. Actual reserves may be greater than or less than the estimates disclosed. See “Risk Factors – Operational Risks – Uncertainty

of Reserves and Future Net Revenue Estimates” in this AIF for additional information.

The reserves data and other oil and gas information contained in this AIF is dated February 15, 2017, with an effective date of December 31, 2016. McDaniel's preparation date of the information is January 11, 2017 and GLJ's preparation date is January 11, 2017.

(1) For the purpose of this AIF, references to “light and medium oil” means “light crude oil and medium crude oil combined” as defined in NI 51-101.

DISCLOSURE OF RESERVES DATA

The reserves data presented summarizes the Corporation's bitumen, heavy oil, light and medium oil and NGLs, and natural gas and CBM reserves and the net present values (“NPV”) and future net revenue (“FNR”) for these reserves. The reserves

data uses forecast prices and costs prior to provision for interest, G&A expenses or the impact of any hedging activities. Estimates of FNR have been presented on a before and after income tax basis.

Summary of Company Interest Oil and Gas Reserves as at December 31, 2016

(Forecast prices and inflation)

	Bitumen (MMbbls)	Heavy Oil (MMbbls)	Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)	Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)
Before Royalties				
Proved Reserves				
Developed Producing	304	92	84	634
Developed Non-Producing	33	8	2	13
Undeveloped	2,006	14	15	5
Proved Reserves	2,343	114	101	652
Probable Reserves	976	75	44	212
Proved plus Probable Reserves	3,319	189	145	864

	Bitumen (MMbbls)	Heavy Oil (MMbbls)	Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)	Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)
After Royalties				
Proved Reserves				
Developed Producing	244	75	65	593
Developed Non-Producing	25	7	1	11
Undeveloped	1,510	12	12	5
Proved Reserves	1,779	94	78	609
Probable Reserves	739	57	32	189
Proved plus Probable Reserves	2,518	151	110	798

Summary of Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue as at December 31, 2016

(Forecast prices and inflation)

Before Income Taxes	Discounted at %/year (\$ millions)					Unit Value Discounted at 10% ⁽¹⁾
	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	\$/BOE
Proved Reserves						
Developed Producing	5,901	8,390	7,778	6,981	6,290	16.13
Developed Non-Producing	1,090	776	585	457	366	16.47
Undeveloped	58,133	22,973	11,087	6,101	3,642	7.22
Proved Reserves	65,124	32,139	19,450	13,539	10,298	9.48
Probable Reserves	30,389	12,221	5,807	3,211	1,987	6.76
Proved plus Probable Reserves	95,513	44,360	25,257	16,750	12,285	8.67

After Income Taxes ⁽²⁾	Discounted at %/year (\$ millions)					Unit Value Discounted at 10% ⁽¹⁾
	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	
Proved Reserves						
Developed Producing	3,986	6,847	6,498	5,901	5,366	16.13
Developed Non-Producing	827	591	453	361	293	16.47
Undeveloped	42,308	16,985	8,294	4,614	2,787	7.22
Proved Reserves	47,121	24,423	15,245	10,876	8,446	9.48
Probable Reserves	22,274	9,021	4,301	2,384	1,481	6.76
Proved plus Probable Reserves	69,395	33,444	19,546	13,260	9,927	8.67

(1) Unit values have been calculated using Company Interest After Royalties reserves.

(2) Values are calculated by considering existing tax pools and tax circumstances for Cenovus and its subsidiaries in the consolidated evaluation of Cenovus's oil and gas properties, and take into account current federal tax regulations. Values do not represent an estimate of the value at the business entity level, which may be significantly different. For information at the business entity level, please see the Corporation's Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Total Future Net Revenue (undiscounted) as at December 31, 2016

(Forecast prices and inflation - \$ millions)

Reserves Category	Revenue	Royalties	Operating Costs	Development Costs	Total Abandonment and Reclamation Costs ⁽¹⁾	Future Net Revenue Before Future Income Taxes	Future Income Taxes	Future Net Revenue After Future Income Taxes
Proved Reserves	183,743	44,492	46,364	18,378	9,385	65,124	18,003	47,121
Proved plus Probable Reserves	266,003	64,859	66,175	28,732	10,724	95,513	26,118	69,395

(1) Total abandonment and reclamation costs included for all wells, facilities and other liabilities, known and existing, and to be incurred as a result of future development activity.

Future Net Revenue by Product Type as at December 31, 2016

(Forecast prices and inflation)

Reserves Category	Product Types	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes (discounted at 10%/year) (\$ millions)	Unit Value Discounted at 10%/year ⁽¹⁾ (\$/BOE)
Proved Reserves	Bitumen	17,212	9.68
	Heavy Oil	1,048	11.15
	Light & Medium Oil and NGLs	1,221	15.56
	Natural Gas	(31)	(0.31)
	Total	19,450	9.48
Proved plus Probable Reserves	Bitumen	21,772	8.65
	Heavy Oil	1,539	10.19
	Light & Medium Oil and NGLs	1,788	16.18
	Natural Gas	158	1.19
	Total	25,257	8.67

(1) Unit values have been calculated using Company Interest After Royalties reserves.

Additional Notes to Reserves Data Tables

- The estimates of FNR presented do not represent fair market value.
- FNR from reserves excludes cash flows related to Cenovus's risk management activities.
- For disclosure purposes, Cenovus has included NGLs with light and medium oil, and CBM with natural gas, as the reserves of each are not material relative to the other reported product types.
- In accordance with NI 51-101, NPV and FNR amounts presented include all of Cenovus's existing estimated abandonment and reclamation costs, plus all forecast estimates of abandonment and reclamation costs attributable to future development activity associated with the reserves.

Definitions

1. **After Royalties** means volumes after deduction of royalties and includes royalty interest reserves, if any.
2. **Before Royalties** means volumes before deduction of royalties and excludes royalty interest reserves, if any.
3. **Company Interest** means, in relation to production, reserves, resources and property, the interest (operating or non-operating) held by Cenovus.
4. **Gross** means: (a) in relation to wells, the total number of wells in which Cenovus has an interest; and (b) in relation to properties, the total acreage of properties in which Cenovus has an interest.
5. **Net** means: (a) in relation to wells, the number of wells obtained by aggregating Cenovus's working interest in each of its gross wells; and (b) in relation to Cenovus's interest in a property, the total acreage in which it has an interest multiplied by its working interest.
6. **Reserves** are estimated remaining quantities of oil and natural gas and related substances anticipated to be recoverable from known accumulations, as of a given date, based on analysis of drilling, geological, geophysical and engineering data, the use of established

technology and specified economic conditions, which are generally accepted as being reasonable, and are to be disclosed later in this AIF.

Reserves are classified according to the degree of certainty associated with the estimates:

- **Proved reserves** are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated proved reserves.
- **Probable reserves** are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

Each of the reserves categories may be divided into developed and undeveloped categories:

- **Developed reserves** are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from existing wells and installed facilities or, if facilities have not been installed, that would involve a low expenditure (e.g. when compared to the cost of drilling a well) to put the reserves on production. The developed category may be subdivided as follows:
 - **Developed producing reserves** are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from completion intervals open at the time of the estimate. These reserves may be currently producing or, if shut-in, they must have previously been on production, and the date of resumption of production must be known with reasonable certainty.
 - **Developed non-producing reserves** are those reserves that either have not been on production, or have previously been on production, but are shut-in, and the date of resumption of production is unknown.
- **Undeveloped reserves** are those reserves expected to be recovered from known accumulations where a significant expenditure (e.g. when compared to the cost of drilling a well) is required to render them capable of production. They must fully meet the requirements of the reserves classification (proved, probable) to which they are assigned.

Pricing Assumptions

The forecast of prices and inflation (the "McDaniel Forecast") provided in the table below was obtained from McDaniel and used to estimate FNR associated with the reserves disclosed herein. The McDaniel Forecast is dated January 1, 2017. The inflation forecast was applied uniformly to prices beyond the forecast interval, and to all future costs. For historical prices realized during 2016, see "Production History" in this AIF.

Year	Oil					Natural Gas & CBM		Inflation Rate (%/year)	Exchange Rate (US\$/C\$)
	WTI Cushing Oklahoma (US\$/bbl)	Edmonton Par Price 40 API (C\$/bbl)	Cromer Medium 29.3 API (C\$/bbl)	Alberta Heavy 12 API (C\$/bbl)	Western Canadian Select (C\$/bbl)	AECO Gas Price (C\$/MMBtu)			
2017	55.00	69.80	62.80	46.50	53.70	3.40	0.0	0.750	
2018	58.70	72.70	67.60	50.50	58.20	3.15	2.0	0.775	
2019	62.40	75.50	70.20	54.00	61.90	3.30	2.0	0.800	
2020	69.00	81.10	75.40	58.00	66.50	3.60	2.0	0.825	
2021	75.80	86.60	80.50	61.90	71.00	3.90	2.0	0.850	
2022	77.30	88.30	82.10	63.10	72.40	3.95	2.0	0.850	
2023	78.80	90.00	83.70	64.40	73.80	4.10	2.0	0.850	
2024	80.40	91.80	85.40	65.60	75.30	4.25	2.0	0.850	
2025	82.00	93.70	87.10	67.00	76.80	4.30	2.0	0.850	
2026	83.70	95.60	88.90	68.40	78.40	4.40	2.0	0.850	
2027	85.30	97.40	90.60	69.60	79.90	4.50	2.0	0.850	
2028+	+2%/yr	+2%/yr	+2%/yr	+2%/yr	+2%/yr	+2%/yr	2.0	0.850	

Future Development Costs

The following table outlines undiscounted future development costs deducted in the estimation of FNR calculated utilizing forecast prices and inflation for the years indicated:

Reserves Category (\$ millions)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Remainder	Total
Proved Reserves	311	630	739	775	539	15,384	18,378
Proved plus Probable Reserves	426	717	1,033	1,160	880	24,516	28,732

Cenovus believes that existing cash balances, internally generated cash flows, existing credit facilities, management of its asset portfolio and access to capital markets will be sufficient to fund the Corporation's future development costs. However, there can be no guarantee that the necessary funds will be available or that Cenovus will allocate funding to develop all of its reserves. Failure to develop those reserves would have a negative impact on the Corporation's FNR.

The interest or other costs of external funding are not included in the reserves and FNR estimates and would reduce FNR depending upon the funding sources utilized. Cenovus does not believe that interest or other funding costs would make development of any property uneconomic.

Reserves Reconciliation

The following tables provide a reconciliation of Cenovus's Company Interest Before Royalties reserves for bitumen, heavy oil, light and medium oil and NGLs, and natural gas and CBM for the year ended December 31, 2016, presented using forecast prices and inflation. All reserves are located in Canada.

	Bitumen (MMbbls)	Heavy Oil (MMbbls)	Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)	Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)
Proved				
As at December 31, 2015	2,183	133	110	721
Extensions and Improved Recovery	154	-	-	-
Discoveries	-	-	-	-
Technical Revisions	61	(8)	1	79
Economic Factors	-	-	-	-
Acquisitions	-	-	-	-
Dispositions	-	-	-	(1)
Production ⁽¹⁾	(55)	(11)	(10)	(147)
As at December 31, 2016	2,343	114	101	652

	Bitumen (MMbbls)	Heavy Oil (MMbbls)	Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)	Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)
Probable				
As at December 31, 2015	1,115	87	44	232
Extensions and Improved Recovery	-	-	-	-
Discoveries	-	-	-	-
Technical Revisions	(139)	(12)	-	(20)
Economic Factors	-	-	-	-
Acquisitions	-	-	-	-
Dispositions	-	-	-	-
Production ⁽¹⁾	-	-	-	-
As at December 31, 2016	976	75	44	212

	Bitumen (MMbbls)	Heavy Oil (MMbbls)	Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)	Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)
Proved plus Probable				
As at December 31, 2015	3,298	220	154	953
Extensions and Improved Recovery	154	-	-	-
Discoveries	-	-	-	-
Technical Revisions	(78)	(20)	1	59
Economic Factors	-	-	-	-
Acquisitions	-	-	-	-
Dispositions	-	-	-	(1)
Production ⁽¹⁾	(55)	(11)	(10)	(147)
As at December 31, 2016	3,319	189	145	864

(1) Production used for the reserves reconciliation differs from publicly reported production. In accordance with NI 51-101, Company Interest Before Royalties production used for the reserves reconciliation above includes Cenovus's share of gas volumes provided to FCCL for steam generation, but does not include royalty interest production.

Proved bitumen reserves increased by approximately seven percent. Increases at Christina Lake were primarily a result of an area expansion and improved reservoir performance. Increases at Foster Creek were primarily a result of improved reservoir performance. Proved plus probable bitumen reserves increased one percent.

Heavy oil proved reserves decreased by approximately 14 percent primarily as a result of production and drilling deferrals. Heavy oil probable reserves decreased by approximately 14 percent due to drilling deferrals at Pelican Lake. Overall, heavy oil proved plus probable reserves decreased by approximately 14 percent.

Light and medium oil and NGLs proved reserves decreased by eight percent. The decreases were primarily due to production, partially offset by development at Grassland. Overall, light and medium oil and NGLs proved plus probable reserves

decreased six percent, primarily as a result of production.

Natural gas and CBM proved reserves declined by approximately 10 percent as extensions and technical revisions did not offset production. Probable natural gas and CBM reserves and proved plus probable natural gas and CBM reserves declined by approximately nine percent.

Undeveloped Reserves

Undeveloped reserves are those reserves expected to be recovered from known accumulations where a significant expenditure is required to render them capable of production.

Proved and probable undeveloped reserves have been estimated by the IQREs in accordance with procedures and standards contained in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook. In general, undeveloped reserves are scheduled to be developed within the next one to 45 years.

Company Interest Proved Undeveloped – Before Royalties

	Bitumen (MMbbls)		Heavy Oil (MMbbls)		Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)		Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)	
	First Attributed	Total at Year-End	First Attributed	Total at Year-End	First Attributed	Total at Year-End	First Attributed	Total at Year-End
Prior	1,875	1,629	94	47	59	15	300	4
2014	161	1,732	7	40	11	21	4	4
2015	238	1,861	-	29	1	19	1	4
2016	185	2,006	-	14	-	15	-	5

Company Interest Probable Undeveloped – Before Royalties

	Bitumen (MMbbls)		Heavy Oil (MMbbls)		Light & Medium Oil & NGLs (MMbbls)		Natural Gas & CBM (Bcf)	
	First Attributed	Total at Year-End	First Attributed	Total at Year-End	First Attributed	Total at Year-End	First Attributed	Total at Year-End
Prior	1,244	649	122	86	35	17	54	16
2014	649	1,293	5	76	8	15	7	11
2015	1	1,074	-	52	1	14	2	8
2016	10	935	-	46	-	15	-	9

DEVELOPMENT OF PROVED AND PROBABLE UNDEVELOPED RESERVES

Bitumen

At the end of 2016, Cenovus had proved undeveloped bitumen reserves of 2,006 million barrels Before Royalties, or approximately 86 percent of the Corporation's proved bitumen reserves. Of Cenovus's 976 million barrels of probable bitumen reserves, 935 million barrels, or approximately 96 percent, are undeveloped. The evaluation of these reserves anticipates they will be recovered using SAGD.

Typical SAGD project development involves the initial installation of a steam generation facility, at a cost much greater than drilling a production/injection well pair, and then progressively drilling sufficient SAGD well pairs to fully utilize the available steam.

Bitumen reserves can be classified as proved when there is sufficient stratigraphic drilling to have demonstrated to a high degree of certainty the presence of the bitumen in commercially recoverable volumes. McDaniel's standard for sufficient drilling in the McMurray formation is a minimum of eight wells per section with 3D seismic, or 16 wells per section with no seismic. In other geological formations, such as Grand Rapids, there may be some variation in the standard. Additionally, all requisite legal and regulatory approvals must have been obtained, operator and partner funding approvals must be in place, and a reasonable development timetable must be established. Proved developed bitumen reserves are differentiated from proved undeveloped bitumen reserves by the presence of drilled production/injection well pairs at the reserves estimation effective date. Because a steam plant has a long life relative to well pairs, in the early stages of a SAGD project, only a small portion of proved reserves will be developed as the number of well pairs drilled will be limited by the available steam capacity.

Recognition of probable reserves requires sufficient drilling of stratigraphic wells to establish reservoir suitability for SAGD. Reserves will be classified as

probable if the number of wells drilled falls between the stratigraphic well requirements for proved reserves and for probable reserves, or if the reserves are located outside of an approved development plan area, but within an approved project area. McDaniel's standard for probable reserves is a minimum of four stratigraphic wells per section. If reserves lie outside the approved development area, approval to include those reserves in the development area must be obtained before development drilling of SAGD well pairs can commence.

Development of the proved undeveloped reserves will take place in an orderly manner as additional well pairs are drilled to utilize the available steam when existing well pairs reach the end of their steam injection phase. The forecast production of Cenovus's proved bitumen reserves extends approximately 47 years, based on existing facilities. Production of the current proved developed portion is estimated to take approximately 13 years.

Crude Oil

Cenovus has a significant medium oil CO₂ EOR project at Weyburn and a significant heavy oil waterflood/polymer flood EOR project at Pelican Lake. These projects occur in large, well-developed reservoirs, where undeveloped reserves are not necessarily defined by the absence of drilling, but by anticipated improved recovery associated with development of the EOR schemes. Extending both EOR schemes within the projects requires intensive capital investment in infrastructure development and will occur over many years.

At Weyburn, investment in proved undeveloped reserves is projected to continue for over 40 years, with drilling of supplementary wells taking place over the next five years, and CO₂ flood advancement continuing many years beyond that. At Pelican Lake, investment in proved undeveloped reserves is projected to continue for three years, with a combination of infrastructure development, infill drilling and polymer flood advancement.

SIGNIFICANT FACTORS OR UNCERTAINTIES AFFECTING RESERVES DATA

The evaluation of reserves is a continuous process that can be significantly impacted by a variety of internal and external influences. Revisions are often required resulting from changes in pricing, economic conditions, regulatory changes, and historical performance. While these factors can be considered and potentially anticipated, certain judgments and

assumptions are always required. As new information becomes available, these areas are reviewed and revised accordingly. For a discussion of the risk factors and uncertainties affecting reserves data, see "Risk Factors – Operational Risks – Uncertainty of Reserves and Future Net Revenue Estimates".

OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

Oil and Gas Properties and Wells

The following tables summarize Cenovus's interests in producing and non-producing wells, as at December 31, 2016:

Producing Wells ⁽¹⁾	Oil		Gas		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Alberta						
Oil Sands	490	245	293	279	783	524
Conventional	1,923	1,907	24,128	23,952	26,051	25,859
Total Alberta	2,413	2,152	24,421	24,231	26,834	26,383
Saskatchewan	645	402	-	-	645	402
Total	3,058	2,554	24,421	24,231	27,479	26,785

(1) Includes wells containing multiple completions as follows: 22,082 gross gas wells (21,924 net wells) and 1,131 gross oil wells (1,013 net wells).

Non-Producing Wells ⁽¹⁾	Oil		Gas		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Alberta						
Oil Sands	109	60	349	240	458	300
Conventional	929	909	1,085	1,051	2,014	1,960
Total Alberta	1,038	969	1,434	1,291	2,472	2,260
Saskatchewan	196	85	1	1	197	86
Total	1,234	1,054	1,435	1,292	2,669	2,346

(1) Non-producing wells include wells which are capable of producing, but which are currently not producing. Non-producing wells do not include other types of wells such as stratigraphic test wells, service wells, or wells that have been abandoned.

Cenovus has no material properties with attributed reserves which are capable of producing, but which are not on production.

Exploration and Development Activity

The following tables summarize Cenovus's gross participation and net interest in wells drilled in 2016⁽¹⁾:

Development Wells Drilled	Oil Sands		Conventional		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Oil	54	28	10	9	64	37
Gas	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dry & Abandoned	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Canada	54	28	10	9	64	37

(1) Cenovus did not have any participation or interest in any exploration wells in 2016.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, Oil Sands drilled 205 gross stratigraphic test wells (103 net wells) and Conventional drilled 58 gross stratigraphic test wells (58 net wells).

During the year ended December 31, 2016, no service wells were drilled within Oil Sands or Conventional. SAGD well pairs are counted as a single producing well in the table above.

For all types of wells except stratigraphic test wells, the calculation of the number of wells is based on the number of surface locations. For stratigraphic test wells, the calculation is based on the number of bottomhole locations.

Development activities were focused on sustaining bitumen production at Foster Creek and Christina Lake, and on supporting our EOR projects at Pelican Lake and Weyburn.

Properties With No Attributed Reserves

Cenovus has approximately 3.9 million gross acres (3.4 million net acres) of properties in Canada to which no reserves have been specifically attributed. These properties are planned for current and future development in both the Corporation's oil sands and conventional oil and gas operations. There are currently no work commitments on these properties.

Cenovus has rights to explore, develop, and exploit approximately 81,000 net acres that could potentially expire by December 31, 2017, which relate entirely to Crown and freehold land.

For areas where Cenovus holds interests in different formations under the same surface area through separate leases, the Corporation has calculated its gross and net acreage on the basis of each individual lease.

Properties with no attributed reserves include Crown lands where bitumen contingent and prospective resources have been identified and Crown lands where exploration activities to date have not identified potential reserves in commercial quantities. See "Risk Factors – Financial Risks – Commodity Prices" and "Risk Factors – Financial Risks – Development and Operating Costs" and "Risk Factors – Operational Risks – Uncertainty of Reserves and Future Net Revenue Estimates" in this AIF for further discussion of economic and risk factors relevant to Cenovus's properties with no attributed reserves.

Additional Information Concerning Abandonment and Reclamation Costs

The estimated total future abandonment and reclamation costs for existing wells, facilities, and infrastructure is based on Management's estimate of

costs to remediate, reclaim and abandon wells and facilities having regard to Cenovus's working interest and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future periods. Cenovus has developed a process to calculate these estimates, which considers applicable regulations, actual and anticipated costs, type and size of the well or facility and the geographic location.

Cenovus has estimated undiscounted future abandonment and reclamation costs for its existing upstream assets at approximately \$6.14 billion (approximately \$1.078 billion, discounted at 10 percent) at December 31, 2016, of which the Corporation expects to pay between \$200 million and \$240 million in the next three financial years on a portion of the 34,762 net wells.

Of the undiscounted future abandonment and reclamation costs to be incurred over the life of Cenovus's proved reserves, approximately \$9 billion has been deducted in estimating the FNR, which represents the Corporation's total existing estimated abandonment and reclamation costs, plus all forecast estimates of abandonment and reclamation costs attributable to future development activity associated with the reserves.

Tax Horizon

In 2017, Cenovus currently expects to incur losses for income tax purposes and recover income taxes paid in prior years. Tax may be payable by the Corporation in 2018.

Costs Incurred

(\$ millions)	2016
Acquisitions	
Unproved	11
Proved	-
Total Acquisitions	11
Exploration Costs	35
Development Costs	738
Total Costs Incurred	784

Forward Contracts

Cenovus may use financial derivatives to manage its exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices, foreign exchange and interest rates. A description of such instruments is provided in the notes to the Corporation's annual audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Production Estimates

The following table summarizes the estimated 2017 average daily volume of Company Working Interest Before Royalties reflected in the reserves reports for all properties held on December 31, 2016 using forecast prices and costs, all of which will be produced in Canada. These estimates assume certain activities take place, such as the development of undeveloped reserves, and that there are no divestitures.

2017 Estimated Production

Forecast Prices and Costs	Proved	Proved plus Probable
Bitumen (bbls/d) ⁽¹⁾	176,481	184,513
Light and Medium Oil (bbls/d)	24,814	27,600
Heavy Oil (bbls/d)	25,747	26,812
Natural Gas (MMcf/d)	349	376
Natural Gas Liquids (bbls/d)	706	778
Company Working Interest Before Royalties (BOE/d)	285,952	302,444

(1) Includes Foster Creek production of 74,981 barrels per day for proved and 77,875 barrels per day for proved plus probable, and Christina Lake production of 101,500 barrels per day for proved and 106,638 barrels per day for proved plus probable.

Production History

Average Working Interest Daily Production Volumes - 2016

	Year	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Crude Oil and Natural Gas Liquids (bbls/d)					
Oil Sands					
Foster Creek (Bitumen)	70,244	81,588	73,798	64,544	60,882
Christina Lake (Bitumen)	79,449	82,808	79,793	78,060	77,093
	149,693	164,396	153,591	142,604	137,975
Conventional Liquids					
Heavy Oil	29,185	28,913	28,096	28,500	31,247
Light and Medium Oil	25,844	25,016	25,280	26,127	26,970
Natural Gas Liquids ⁽¹⁾	1,064	1,176	1,073	798	1,206
Total Crude Oil and Natural Gas Liquids	205,786	219,501	208,040	198,029	197,398
Natural Gas (MMcf/d)					
Oil Sands	17	17	18	18	17
Conventional	377	362	374	381	391
Total Natural Gas	394	379	392	399	408
Total (BOE/d)	271,453	282,669	273,373	264,528	265,398

(1) Natural gas liquids include condensate volumes.

Average Royalty Interest Daily Production Volumes - 2016

	Year	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Crude Oil and Natural Gas Liquids (bbls/d)					
Conventional Liquids					
Heavy Oil	-	-	-	-	-
Light and Medium Oil	70	48	31	51	151
Natural Gas Liquids ⁽¹⁾	2	1	1	1	2
Total Crude Oil and Natural Gas Liquids	72	49	32	52	153
Natural Gas (MMcf/d)					
Conventional	-	-	-	-	-
Total (BOE/d)	72	49	32	52	153

(1) Natural gas liquids include condensate volumes.

Per-Unit Results

The following tables summarize Cenovus's per-unit results, as well as the impact of realized financial hedging, on a quarterly basis, before deduction of royalties, for the periods indicated:

Netbacks⁽¹⁾ – 2016

(excluding impact of realized gain (loss) on risk management)

	Year	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Bitumen - Foster Creek (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	30.32	38.59	33.61	33.40	11.82
Royalties	(0.01)	(0.27)	0.19	0.23	(0.16)
Transportation and blending	8.84	7.37	8.38	11.44	8.70
Operating expenses	10.55	10.60	9.63	10.15	12.05
Netback	10.94	20.89	15.41	11.58	(8.77)
Bitumen - Christina Lake (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	25.30	34.78	29.11	28.31	8.85
Royalties	0.33	0.56	0.41	0.28	0.05
Transportation and blending	4.68	4.08	4.49	4.90	5.28
Operating expenses	7.48	8.15	7.72	6.35	7.61
Netback	12.81	21.99	16.49	16.78	(4.09)
Total Bitumen (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	27.64	36.67	31.30	30.59	10.13
Royalties	0.17	0.14	0.30	0.26	(0.04)
Transportation and blending ⁽³⁾	6.62	5.71	6.39	7.84	6.75
Operating expenses	8.91	9.37	8.65	8.06	9.52
Netback	11.94	21.45	15.96	14.43	(6.10)
Heavy Crude Oil (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	35.82	40.72	40.50	36.77	25.99
Royalties	3.31	4.08	3.97	3.95	1.40
Transportation and blending	4.60	4.90	4.86	3.85	4.77
Operating expenses	13.38	14.69	12.43	12.34	13.98
Production and mineral taxes	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-
Netback	14.52	17.04	19.23	16.62	5.84

(1) Netback is a non-GAAP measure commonly used in the oil and gas industry to assist in measuring operating performance on a per-unit basis. Netback is defined as gross sales less royalties, transportation and blending, operating expenses and production and mineral taxes divided by sales volumes. Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold. Our calculation is consistent with the definition found in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook. The crude oil sales price, transportation and blending costs, and sales volumes exclude the impact of purchased condensate. Netback does not have a standardized meaning as prescribed by IFRS and therefore is considered a non-GAAP measure. As such, it may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. This measure has been described and presented in this AIF in order to provide shareholders and potential investors with additional information regarding Cenovus's liquidity and its ability to generate funds to finance its operations, and to comply with the requirements of NI 51-101. This measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures prepared in accordance with IFRS. For further information, refer to Cenovus's most recent MD&A available at cenovus.com. For the reconciliation of the financial components of Netback to the GAAP measure and the sales volumes used in the calculations, see "Netback Reconciliations" in Appendix D.

Netbacks⁽¹⁾ – 2016*(excluding impact of realized gain (Loss) on risk management)*

	Year	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Light and Medium Crude Oil (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	46.48	55.35	48.97	48.09	34.36
Royalties	9.28	14.87	8.91	8.52	5.18
Transportation and blending	2.73	2.69	2.71	2.77	2.73
Operating expenses	15.65	16.05	13.94	16.21	16.34
Production and mineral taxes	1.24	1.50	1.48	1.18	0.82
Netback	17.58	20.24	21.93	19.41	9.29
Total Bitumen and Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium) (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	31.20	39.37	34.66	33.89	15.91
Royalties	1.77	2.38	1.83	1.93	0.90
Transportation and blending	5.84	5.25	5.74	6.56	5.89
Operating expenses	10.40	10.85	9.79	9.80	11.14
Production and mineral taxes	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.11
Netback	13.03	20.72	17.12	15.44	(2.13)
NGLs (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	31.16	40.79	29.71	28.11	24.99
Royalties	4.21	4.97	3.58	4.20	4.03
Netback	26.95	35.82	26.13	23.91	20.96
Total Bitumen, Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium) and NGLs (\$/bbl)					
Sales Price	31.20	39.38	34.64	33.87	15.97
Royalties	1.79	2.39	1.84	1.94	0.92
Transportation and blending	5.81	5.22	5.71	6.53	5.85
Operating expenses	10.35	10.80	9.74	9.76	11.08
Production and mineral taxes	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.11
Netback	13.09	20.80	17.17	15.48	(1.99)
Total Natural Gas (\$/Mcf)					
Sales Price	2.32	2.99	2.49	1.53	2.31
Royalties	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.04	0.09
Transportation and blending	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.10
Operating expenses	1.15	1.25	1.05	1.06	1.23
Production and mineral taxes	-	-	0.01	-	-
Netback	0.96	1.47	1.23	0.30	0.89
Total (\$/BOE)					
Sales Price	27.01	34.53	29.98	27.56	15.43
Royalties	1.49	2.06	1.55	1.51	0.82
Transportation and blending	4.56	4.20	4.51	5.07	4.51
Operating expenses	9.51	10.05	8.92	8.89	10.14
Production and mineral taxes	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.08
Netback	11.33	18.09	14.85	11.97	(0.12)

(1) Netback is a non-GAAP measure commonly used in the oil and gas industry to assist in measuring operating performance on a per-unit basis. Netback is defined as gross sales less royalties, transportation and blending, operating expenses and production and mineral taxes divided by sales volumes. Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold. Our calculation is consistent with the definition found in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook. The crude oil sales price, transportation and blending costs, and sales volumes exclude the impact of purchased condensate. Netback does not have a standardized meaning as prescribed by IFRS and therefore is considered a non-GAAP measure. As such, it may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. This measure has been described and presented in this AIF in order to provide shareholders and potential investors with additional information regarding Cenovus's liquidity and its ability to generate funds to finance its operations, and to comply with the requirements of NI 51-101. This measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures prepared in accordance with IFRS. For further information, refer to Cenovus's most recent MD&A available at cenovus.com. For the reconciliation of the financial components of Netback to the GAAP measure and the sales volumes used in the calculations, see "Netback Reconciliations" in Appendix D.

Impact of Realized Gain (Loss) on Risk Management – 2016	Year	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Liquids (\$/bbl)	3.23	0.91	2.14	1.97	8.16
Natural Gas (\$/Mcf)	-	-	-	-	-
Total (\$/BOE)	2.44	0.70	1.63	1.46	6.08

Capital Expenditures, Acquisitions and Divestitures

Cenovus has a large inventory of internal growth opportunities and continues to examine select acquisition opportunities to develop and expand its oil and gas properties. Acquisition opportunities may include corporate or asset acquisitions. Cenovus may finance any such acquisitions with debt, equity, cash generated from operations, proceeds from asset divestitures or a combination of these sources.

In 2016, Cenovus had an active program to divest its non-core assets in order to increase its focus on key assets within the long-range business plan, as well as generate proceeds to partially fund its capital investment.

In the third quarter of 2015, Cenovus sold HRP, the holder of its royalty interest and mineral fee title lands business in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba to an unrelated third party for gross cash proceeds of \$3.3 billion. Also in the third quarter of 2015, Cenovus acquired the Bruderheim rail terminal, a crude-by-rail terminal at Bruderheim, Alberta for \$75 million plus adjustments.

The following table summarizes Cenovus's net capital investment for 2016 and 2015:

Net Capital Investment

(\$ millions)	2016	2015
Capital Investment		
Oil Sands		
Foster Creek	263	403
Christina Lake	282	647
Total	545	1,050
Other Oil Sands	59	135
	604	1,185
Conventional	171	244
Refining and Marketing	220	248
Corporate	31	37
Capital Investment	1,026	1,714
Acquisitions	11	87
Divestitures	(8)	(3,344)
Net Acquisition and Divestiture Activity	3	(3,257)
Net Capital Investment ⁽¹⁾	1,029	(1,543)

(1) Includes expenditures on property, plant and equipment and exploration and evaluation assets.

OTHER INFORMATION

COMPETITIVE CONDITIONS

All aspects of the oil and gas industry are highly competitive. Refer to "Risk Factors – Operational Risks – Competition" for further information on the competitive conditions affecting Cenovus.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

Cenovus's operations are subject to laws and regulations concerning protection of the environment, pollution and the handling and transport of hazardous materials. These laws and regulations generally require the Corporation to remove or remedy the effect of its activities on the environment at present and former operating sites, including dismantling production facilities and remediating damage caused by the use or release of specified substances. The Safety, Environment and Responsibility Committee of the Corporation's Board reviews and recommends policies pertaining to corporate responsibility, including the environment, and oversees compliance with government laws and regulations. Monitoring and reporting programs for environmental, health and safety performance in day-to-day operations, as well as inspections and assessments, have been designed to provide assurance that environmental and regulatory standards are met. Contingency plans have been put in place for a timely response to an environmental event and remediation/reclamation

programs have been put in place and utilized to restore the environment.

Cenovus recognizes that there is a cost associated with carbon emissions and it believes that GHG regulations and the cost of carbon at various price levels can be adequately accounted for as part of business planning. As part of the Corporation's future planning, Management and the Board review the impact of a variety of carbon constrained scenarios on Cenovus's strategy. Although uncertainty remains regarding potential future emissions regulation, the Corporation will continue to assess and evaluate the cost of carbon relative to its investments across a range of scenarios. For a discussion of the risks associated with this uncertainty, see "Risk Factors – Environment & Regulatory Risks – Climate Change Regulation".

Cenovus also examines the impact of carbon regulation on its major projects, including its oil sands operations and its refining assets. Cenovus continues to closely monitor potential GHG legislation and litigation developments both in Canada and in the U.S.

Cenovus expects to incur abandonment and site reclamation costs as existing oil and gas properties are abandoned and reclaimed. Cenovus does not anticipate material expenditures beyond amounts paid in respect of normal compliance with environmental regulations in 2017. Refer to "Risk

Factors – Environment & Regulatory Risks – Environmental Regulations” for further information on environmental protection matters affecting Cenovus.

We published our 2015 CR report in July 2016, detailing our efforts to accelerate our environmental performance, protect the health and safety of our staff, invest in and engage with the communities where we operate and maintain the highest standards of corporate governance. Our CR report also lists external recognition we received for our commitment to corporate responsibility and our efforts to balance economic, governance, social and environmental performance. Our CR policy and 2015 CR report are available on our website at cenovus.com.

CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY

We are committed to operating in a responsible manner and integrating our corporate responsibility principles in the way we conduct our business. Our Corporate Responsibility (“CR”) policy guides our activities in the areas of: Leadership; Corporate Governance and Business Practices; People; Environmental Performance; Stakeholder and Aboriginal Engagement; and Community Involvement and Investment.

EMPLOYEES

The following table summarizes Cenovus’s full-time equivalent (“FTE”) employees as at December 31, 2016:

	FTE Employees
Upstream	1,856
Downstream	126
Corporate	793
Total	2,775

Cenovus also engages a number of contractors and service providers. Refer to “Risk Factors - Operational Risks - Leadership and Talent” for further information on employee matters affecting Cenovus.

FOREIGN OPERATIONS

Cenovus, and its reportable segments, are not dependent upon foreign operations outside North America. As a result, the Corporation’s exposure to risks and uncertainties in countries considered politically and economically unstable is limited. Any future operations outside North America may be adversely affected by changes in government policy, social instability or other political or economic developments which are not within Cenovus’s control, including the expropriation of property, the cancellation or modification of contract rights and restrictions on repatriation of cash. Refer to “Risk Factors – Financial Risks – Foreign Exchange Rates” for information on foreign exchange rate matters affecting Cenovus.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

DIRECTORS

The following individuals are directors of Cenovus.

Name and Residence	Director Since ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation During the Past Five Years
Patrick D. Daniel ^(2,3,4) Calgary, Alberta, Canada	2009 Independent	Mr. Daniel is a director of Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce; a director of Capital Power Corporation, a publicly traded North American power producer; and Chair of the North American Review Board of American Air Liquide Holdings, Inc., a subsidiary of a publicly traded industrial gases service company. Mr. Daniel served as a director of Enbridge Inc. ("Enbridge"), a publicly traded energy delivery company, from April 2000 to October 2012. During his tenure with Enbridge, he also served as President & Chief Executive Officer from January 2001 to February 2012 and as Chief Executive Officer from February 2012 to October 2012.
Ian W. Delaney ^(3,4,6) Toronto, Ontario, Canada	2009 Independent	Mr. Delaney is Chairman of The Westaim Corporation, a publicly traded investment company; and Chairman of Ontario Air Ambulance Services Co. (Ornge) a not-for-profit medical air and ground transportation organization. Mr. Delaney served as a director of Sherritt International Corporation ("Sherritt"), a publicly traded diversified natural resource company that produces nickel, cobalt, thermal coal, oil and gas and electricity, from October 1995 to May 2013. During his tenure with Sherritt, he also served as Chairman from November 1995 to May 2004, Executive Chairman from May 2004 to December 2008, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from January 2009 to December 2011 and Chairman from January 2012 to May 2013. Mr. Delaney also served as Chairman of UrtheCast Corp. (formerly Longford Energy Inc.), a publicly traded video technology development company, from August 2012 to October 2013 and as a director of Dacha Strategic Metals Inc., a publicly traded investment company focused on the acquisition, storage and trading of strategic metals, from November 2012 to September 2014.
Brian C. Ferguson ⁽⁷⁾ Calgary, Alberta, Canada	2009	Mr. Ferguson has been President & Chief Executive Officer of Cenovus since its formation on November 30, 2009; and serves as a director of The Toronto-Dominion Bank. Mr. Ferguson is a Fellow of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Alberta and a member of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada.
Michael A. Grandin ^(4,8) Calgary, Alberta, Canada	2009 (Chair) Independent	Mr. Grandin is the Chair of Cenovus's Board. He is a director of HSBC Bank Canada and was a director of BNS Split Corp. II, a publicly traded investment company, from February 2005 until November 2016.
Steven F. Leer ^(2,4,5) Boca Grande, Florida, United States	2015 Independent	Mr. Leer is a lead director of Norfolk Southern Corporation, a publicly traded North American rail transportation provider; non-executive Chairman of the Board of USG Corporation ("USG"), a publicly traded manufacturer and distributor of high performance building systems; and a director of Parsons Corporation, a private engineering, construction, technical, and management services firm. Mr. Leer served as a director of USG from June 2005 to January 2012 and was lead director from January 2012 to November 2016. Mr. Leer also served as Chairman of Arch Coal, Inc. ("Arch Coal"), a publicly traded coal producing company, from April 2006 to April 2014 and served as a director of Arch Coal and its predecessor company from 1992. During his tenure with Arch Coal and its predecessor company, he also served as Chief Executive Officer from July 1992 to April 2012.

Name and Residence	Director Since ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation During the Past Five Years
Richard J. Marcogliese ^(4,5,6) Alamo, California, United States	2016 Independent	Mr. Marcogliese is the Principal of iRefine, LLC, a privately owned petroleum refining consulting company; Executive Advisor of Pilko & Associates L.P., a private chemical and energy advisory company; and is presently engaged as an Operations Advisor to NTR Partners III LLC, a private investment company. He served as Operations Advisor to the CEO of Philadelphia Energy Solutions, a partnership between The Carlyle Group and a subsidiary of Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. that operates an oil refining complex on the U.S. Eastern seaboard, from September 2012 to January 2016.
Claude Mongeau ⁽⁹⁾ Montreal, Quebec, Canada	2016 Independent	Mr. Mongeau is a director of The Toronto-Dominion Bank. Mr. Mongeau served as a director of Canadian National Railway Company ("CN"), a publicly traded railroad and transportation company, from October 2009 to July 2016 and as President and Chief Executive Officer from January 2010 to June 2016. During his tenure with CN, he also served as Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer from October 2000 until December 2009, and held various increasingly senior positions from the time he joined. Mr. Mongeau also served as a director of SNC-Lavalin Group Inc. from August 2003 to May 2015 and Chairman of the Board of the Railway Association of Canada.
Valerie A.A. Nielsen ^(3,4,6) Victoria, British Columbia, Canada	2009 Independent	Ms. Nielsen was a director of Wajax Corporation, a publicly traded industrial parts and service company, from June 1995 to May 2012.
Charles M. Rampacek ^(3,4,6) Dallas, Texas, United States	2009 Independent	Mr. Rampacek is a director of Energy Services Holdings, LLC, a private industrial services company that was formed in 2012 from the combination of Ardent Holdings, LLC and another company. Mr. Rampacek served as a director of Flowserve Corporation, a publicly traded manufacturer of industrial equipment from March 1998 to May 2016. He served as Chair of Ardent Holdings, LLC from December 2008 to July 2012. Mr. Rampacek also served as a director of Enterprise Products Holdings, LLC, the sole general partner of Enterprise Products Partners, L.P., a publicly traded midstream energy limited partnership, from November 2006 to September 2011; and Pilko & Associates L.P., a private chemical and energy advisory company, from September 2011 to February 2014.
Colin Taylor ^(2,4,5) Toronto, Ontario, Canada	2009 Independent	Mr. Taylor served two consecutive four-year terms as Chief Executive & Managing Partner of Deloitte LLP and then acted as Senior Counsel until his retirement in May 2008. Mr. Taylor is a Fellow of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Ontario and a member of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada.
Wayne G. Thomson ^(2,4,5) Calgary, Alberta, Canada	2009 Independent	Mr. Thomson is a director of TVI Pacific Inc., a publicly traded international mining company; Chairman of Maha Energy Inc., a public Swedish oil and gas company; Chairman and interim Executive Chairman of Inventys Thermal Technologies Inc., a private carbon capture technology company; and Chairman and President of Enviro Valve Inc., a private company manufacturing proprietary pressure relief valves. Mr. Thomson served as a Chief Executive Officer of Iskander Energy Corp., a private international oil and gas company, from November 2011 to August 2014 and as a director from November 2011 to March 2016.

Name and Residence	Director Since ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation During the Past Five Years
Rhonda I. Zygocki ^(3,4,6) Friday Harbor, Washington, United States	2016 Independent	Ms. Zygocki served as Executive Vice President, Policy and Planning of Chevron Corporation (“Chevron”), an integrated energy company, from March 2011 until her retirement in February 2015 and prior thereto, during her 34 years with Chevron, she held a number of senior management and executive leadership positions in international operations, public affairs, strategic planning, policy, government affairs and health, environment and safety. She is a senior advisor with the Center for Strategic and International Studies and a former advisory board member of the Woodrow Wilson International Center of Scholars Canada Institute.

- (1) Each of the directors first became members of Cenovus’s Board pursuant to the Arrangement, with the following exceptions:
- Mr. Leer who was elected as a director of Cenovus’s Board at the Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders held on April 29, 2015,
 - Ms. Zygocki and Mr. Marcogliese who were elected as directors of Cenovus’s Board at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2016, and
 - Mr. Mongeau who was appointed as a director of Cenovus’s Board as of December 1, 2016.
- The term of each of the directors is from the date of the meeting at which he or she is elected or appointed until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until a successor is elected or appointed.
- (2) Member of the Audit Committee.
- (3) Member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee.
- (4) Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.
- (5) Member of the Reserves Committee.
- (6) Member of the Safety, Environment and Responsibility Committee.
- (7) As an officer and a non-independent director, Mr. Ferguson is not a member of any of the committees of Cenovus’s Board.
- (8) Ex-officio, by standing invitation, non-voting member of all other committees of Cenovus’s Board. As an ex-officio non-voting member, Mr. Grandin attends as his schedule permits and may vote when necessary to achieve a quorum.
- (9) Mr. Mongeau is not currently a member of any standing committees of the Board.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following individuals served as executive officers of Cenovus as at December 31, 2016.

Name and Residence	Office Held and Principal Occupation During the Past Five Years
Brian C. Ferguson Calgary, Alberta, Canada	President & Chief Executive Officer Mr. Ferguson’s biographical information is included under “Directors”.
Ivor M. Ruste Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President & Chief Financial Officer Mr. Ruste has been Executive Vice-President & Chief Financial Officer of Cenovus since its formation on November 30, 2009.
Harbir S. Chhina Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Oil Sands Development Mr. Chhina became Executive Vice-President, Oil Sands Development on September 1, 2015. From December 2010 to August 2015, Mr. Chhina was Cenovus’s Executive Vice-President, Oil Sands. From November 2009 to November 2010, Mr. Chhina was Cenovus’s Executive Vice-President, Enhanced Oil Development & New Resource Plays.
Judy A. Fairburn Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Business Innovation Ms. Fairburn became Executive Vice-President, Business Innovation on December 1, 2015. From February 2013 to November 2015, Ms. Fairburn was Cenovus’s Executive Advisor. From November 2009 to January 2013, Ms. Fairburn was Cenovus’s Executive Vice-President, Environment & Strategic Planning.
Kieron McFadyen Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President & President, Upstream Oil & Gas Mr. McFadyen became Executive Vice-President & President, Upstream Oil & Gas on April 6, 2016. From January 2012 to April 2016, Mr. McFadyen was Group Vice President, Non Operated Joint Ventures of Royal Dutch Shell plc, a multinational oil and gas company (“Royal Dutch Shell”), and from November 2006 to January 2012, he was Group and Executive Vice President (HSSE-SP) of Royal Dutch Shell.
Jacqueline (Jacqui) A.T. McGillivray Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Safety & Organization Effectiveness Ms. McGillivray became Executive Vice-President, Safety & Organization Effectiveness on July 1, 2015. From October 2012 to June 2015, Ms. McGillivray was Cenovus’s Senior Vice-President & Chief People Officer. From November 2010 to October 2012, Ms. McGillivray was Head of Global Human Resources at Talisman Energy Inc.

Name and Residence	Office Held and Principal Occupation During the Past Five Years
Robert W. Pease Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Corporate Strategy & President, Downstream Mr. Pease became Executive Vice-President, Corporate Strategy & President, Downstream on July 1, 2015. From June 2014 to June 2015, Mr. Pease was Cenovus's Executive Vice-President, Markets, Products & Transportation. From February 2014 to May 2014, Mr. Pease was Vice President, Global Business Excellence, Supply & Trading of Shell Trading (US) Company, a corporation that acts as the market interface for Royal Dutch Shell companies and affiliates in the U.S.; and from November 2008 until January 2014, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Motiva Enterprises LLC, a refiner, distributor and marketer of fuels in the eastern and Gulf Coast regions of the U.S.
Alan C. Reid Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Environment, Corporate Affairs, Legal & General Counsel Mr. Reid became Executive Vice-President, Environment, Corporate Affairs, Legal & General Counsel on December 1, 2015. From September 2015 to November 2015, Mr. Reid was Cenovus's Executive Vice-President, Environment, Corporate Affairs & Legal. From January 2014 to August 2015, Mr. Reid was Cenovus's Senior Vice-President, Christina Lake & Narrows Lake. From January 2012 to January 2014, Mr. Reid was Cenovus's Senior Vice-President, Christina Lake. From November 2009 to January 2012, Mr. Reid was Cenovus's Vice-President, Regulatory, Health & Safety.
J. Drew Zieglgansberger Calgary, Alberta, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Oil Sands Manufacturing Mr. Zieglgansberger became Executive Vice-President, Oil Sands Manufacturing on September 1, 2015. From June 2015 to August 2015, Mr. Zieglgansberger was Cenovus's Executive Vice-President, Operations Shared Services. From June 2012 to May 2015, Mr. Zieglgansberger was Cenovus's Senior Vice-President, Operations Shared Services. From January 2012 to May 2012, Mr. Zieglgansberger was Cenovus's Senior Vice-President, Regulatory, Local Community & Military. From December 2010 to January 2012, Mr. Zieglgansberger was Cenovus's Senior Vice-President, Christina Lake.

As of December 31, 2016, all of Cenovus's directors and executive officers, as a group, beneficially owned or exercised control or direction over, directly or indirectly, 1,143,169 common shares of Cenovus ("Common Shares") or approximately 0.13 percent of the number of Common Shares that were outstanding as of such date.

Investors should be aware that some of Cenovus's directors and officers are directors and officers of other private and public companies. Some of these private and public companies may, from time to time, be involved in business transactions or banking relationships which may create situations in which conflicts might arise. Any such conflicts shall be resolved in accordance with the procedures and requirements of the relevant provisions of the CBCA, including the duty of such directors and officers to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of Cenovus.

CEASE TRADE ORDERS, BANKRUPTCIES, PENALTIES OR SANCTIONS

To the Corporation's knowledge, none of its current directors or executive officers are, as at the date of this AIF, or have been, within 10 years prior to the date of this AIF, a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of any company that:

- (a) was subject to a cease trade order, an order similar to a cease trade order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, that was in effect for a period of more than 30 consecutive days (each, an "Order") and that was issued while that director or executive officer was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer; or
- (b) was subject to an Order that was issued after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer and which resulted from an event that occurred while that person was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer.

To the Corporation's knowledge, other than as described below, none of its directors or executive officers:

- (a) is, as at the date of this AIF, or has been within 10 years prior to the date of this AIF, a director or executive officer of any company that, while that person was acting in that capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets; or
- (b) has, within 10 years prior to the date of this AIF, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or

trustee appointed to hold the assets of the director or executive officer.

To the Corporation's knowledge, none of its directors or executive officers has been subject to:

- (a) any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a securities regulatory authority; or
- (b) any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision.

Mr. Delaney was a director of OPTI Canada Inc. ("OPTI") when it commenced proceedings for creditor protection under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada) ("CCAA") on July 13,

2011. Ernst & Young Inc. was appointed as monitor of OPTI. On November 28, 2011, OPTI announced that it had closed a transaction whereby a subsidiary of CNOOC Limited acquired all of the outstanding securities of OPTI pursuant to a plan of arrangement under the CCAA and the *Canada Business Corporations Act*.

Mr. Mongeau was, prior to August 10, 2009, a director of Nortel Networks Corporation and Nortel Networks Limited, each of which initiated creditor protection proceedings under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada) on January 14, 2009. Certain U.S. subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions in the United States under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, and certain Europe, Middle East and Africa subsidiaries made consequential filings in Europe and the Middle East.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee mandate is included as Appendix C to this AIF.

COMPOSITION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee consists of four members, each of whom is independent and financially literate in accordance with National Instrument 52-110 *Audit Committees*. The education and experience of each of the members of the Audit Committee relevant to the performance of the responsibilities as an Audit Committee member is outlined below.

Patrick D. Daniel

Mr. Daniel holds a Bachelor of Science (University of Alberta) and a Master of Science (University of British Columbia), both in chemical engineering. He also completed Harvard University's Advanced Management Program. He is a past Chief Executive Officer and director of Enbridge, a publicly traded energy delivery company. He is also a past director and member of the audit committee of Enerflex Systems Income Fund, a compression systems manufacturer, and a past director and Chair of the finance committee of Synenco Energy Inc., an oil sands mining company which was acquired by Total E&P Canada Ltd. in August 2008.

Steven F. Leer

Mr. Leer holds a Bachelor of Electrical Engineering (University of the Pacific) and a Master of Business Administration (Olin School of Business, Washington University). He was awarded an honorary doctorate by the University of the Pacific in May 1993. Mr. Leer is a lead director of Norfolk Southern Corporation, a publicly traded North American rail transportation provider; and a director of Parsons Corporation, a private engineering, construction, technical, and management services firm. Mr. Leer served as director of USG, a publicly traded manufacturer and distributor of high performance building systems, from June 2005 to January 2012

and as lead director of USG from January 2012 to November 2016. He was Chairman of Arch Coal, a publicly traded coal producing company, from April 2006 to April 2014 and served as a director of Arch Coal and its predecessor company from 1992. During his tenure with Arch Coal and its predecessor company he also served as Chief Executive Officer from July 1992 to April 2012 and President from July 1992 to April 2006. He was a member of the Board of Trustees of Washington University in St. Louis and is a former director of the Business Roundtable and the National Association of Manufacturers.

Colin Taylor (Financial Expert and Audit Committee Chair)

Mr. Taylor is a chartered professional accountant, a Fellow of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Ontario and a member of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada. He also completed Harvard University's Advanced Management Program. Mr. Taylor served two consecutive four-year terms (June 1996 to May 2004) as Chief Executive and Managing Partner of Deloitte LLP and continued as Senior Counsel until his retirement in May 2008. He has held a number of international management and governance responsibilities throughout his professional career. Mr. Taylor also served as Advisory Partner to a number of public and private company clients of Deloitte LLP.

Wayne G. Thomson

Mr. Thomson holds a Bachelor of Science of Mechanical Engineering (University of Manitoba) and is a professional engineer. He is a director of TVI Pacific Inc., a publicly traded international mining company; Chairman of Maha Energy Inc., a public

Swedish oil and gas company; Chairman and interim Executive Chairman of Inventys Thermal Technologies Inc. He also serves as Chairman and President of Enviro Valve Inc., a private company manufacturing proprietary pressure relief valves, since 2005. Mr. Thomson served as Chief Executive Officer of Iskander Energy Corp (“Iskander”) and as director of Iskander from November 2011 to March 2016.

The above list does not include Michael A. Grandin who is, by standing invitation, an ex-officio member of Cenovus’s Audit Committee.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Cenovus has adopted policies and procedures with respect to the pre-approval of audit and permitted non-audit services to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The Audit Committee has established a budget for the provision of a specified list of audit and permitted non-audit services that the Audit Committee believes to be typical, recurring or otherwise likely to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Corporation’s auditor. Subject to the Audit Committee’s discretion, the budget generally covers the period between the adoption of the budget and the next meeting of the Audit Committee. The list of permitted services is sufficiently detailed to ensure that: (i) the Audit Committee knows precisely what services it is being asked to pre-approve; and (ii) it is not necessary for any member of Management to make a judgment as to whether a proposed service fits within the pre-approved services.

Subject to the following paragraph, the Audit Committee has delegated authority to the Chair of the Audit Committee (or if the Chair is unavailable, any other member of the Audit Committee) to pre-approve the provision of permitted services by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP which are not otherwise pre-approved by the Audit Committee, including the fees and terms of the proposed services (“Delegated Authority”). Any required determination about the Chair’s unavailability will be required to be made by the good faith judgment of the applicable other member(s) of the Audit Committee after considering all facts and circumstances deemed by such member(s) to be relevant. All pre-approvals granted pursuant to Delegated Authority must be presented by the member(s) who granted the pre-approvals to the full Audit Committee at its next meeting.

The fees payable in connection with any particular service to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP that has been pre-approved pursuant to Delegated Authority: (i) may not exceed \$200,000, in the case of pre-approvals granted by the Chair of the Audit Committee; and (ii) may not exceed \$50,000, in the case of pre-approvals granted by any other member of the Audit Committee.

All proposed services or the fees payable in connection with such services that have not already been pre-approved must be pre-approved by either the Audit Committee or pursuant to Delegated Authority. Prohibited services may not be pre-approved by the Audit Committee or pursuant to Delegated Authority.

External Auditor Service Fees

The following table provides information about the fees billed to Cenovus for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

(\$ thousands)	2016	2015
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	2,793	2,692
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	111	482
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	71	99
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	10	-
Total	2,985	3,273

(1) Audit Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for the audit of the Corporation’s annual financial statements or services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2) Audit-Related Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Corporation’s financial statements and are not reported as Audit Fees. The services provided in this category included audit-related services in relation to Cenovus’s prospectuses, systems development, controls testing and participation fees levied by the Canadian Public Accountability Board.

(3) Tax Fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for audit related fees, tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

(4) All Other Fees are related to a readiness assessment to satisfy Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act reporting requirements.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The following is a summary of the rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions which are attached to Common Shares and Cenovus's first and second preferred shares (collectively, "Preferred Shares"). Cenovus is authorized to issue an unlimited number of Common Shares and First Preferred Shares and Second Preferred Shares not exceeding, in aggregate, 20 percent of the number of issued and outstanding Common Shares. As at December 31, 2016, there were approximately 833.3 million Common Shares and no Preferred Shares outstanding.

COMMON SHARES

The holders of Common Shares are entitled: (i) to receive dividends if, as and when declared by Cenovus's Board; (ii) to receive notice of, to attend, and to vote on the basis of one vote per Common Share held, at all meetings of shareholders; and (iii) to participate in any distribution of the Corporation's assets in the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up or other distribution of its assets among its shareholders for the purpose of winding up its affairs.

PREFERRED SHARES

Preferred Shares may be issued in one or more series. Cenovus's Board may determine the designation, rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions attached to each series of Preferred Shares before the issue of such series. Holders of Preferred Shares are not entitled to vote at any meeting of shareholders, but may be entitled to vote if the Corporation fails to pay dividends on that series of Preferred Shares. The First Preferred Shares are entitled to priority over the Second Preferred Shares and the Common Shares with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets in the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Cenovus's affairs. Pursuant to a special resolution of the shareholders of the Corporation passed at the annual and special meeting of the Corporation's shareholders on April 29, 2015, the Corporation's articles were amended to provide that the aggregate number of Preferred Shares issued by the Corporation may not exceed 20 percent of the aggregate number of Common Shares then outstanding.

SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN

Cenovus has a shareholder rights plan (the "Shareholder Rights Plan") that was adopted in 2009 to ensure, to the extent possible, that all its shareholders are treated fairly in connection with any take-over bid for Cenovus. The Shareholder Rights Plan creates a right that attaches to each issued Common Share. Until the separation time, which typically occurs at the time of an unsolicited take-over bid, whereby a person acquires or attempts to acquire 20 percent or more of Cenovus's Common Shares, the rights are not separable from the Common Shares, are not exercisable and no separate rights certificates are issued. Each right entitles the holder, other than the 20 percent

acquirer, from and after the separation time (unless delayed by the Corporation's Board) and before certain expiration times, to acquire Common Shares at 50 percent of the market price at the time of exercise. The Shareholder Rights Plan was reconfirmed at the 2015 annual and special meeting of shareholders and must be reconfirmed by the Corporation's shareholders at every third annual shareholder meeting.

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

Cenovus has a dividend reinvestment plan which permits holders of Common Shares to automatically reinvest all or any portion of the cash dividends paid on their Common Shares in additional Common Shares. At the discretion of the Corporation, the additional Common Shares may be issued from treasury at the volume weighted average price of the Common Shares (denominated in the currency in which the Common Shares trade on the applicable stock exchange) traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") during the last five trading days preceding the relevant dividend payment date or purchased on the market.

EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION PLAN

Cenovus has an Employee Stock Option Plan that provides employees with the opportunity to exercise options to purchase Common Shares. Option exercise prices approximate the market price for the Common Shares on the date the options were issued. Options granted are exercisable at 30 percent of the number granted after one year, an additional 30 percent of the number granted after two years and are fully exercisable after three years. Options granted prior to February 17, 2010 expired after five years, while options granted on or after February 17, 2010 expire after seven years. Each option granted prior to February 24, 2011 has an associated tandem stock appreciation right which gives the option holder the right to elect to receive a cash payment equal to the excess of the market price of the Common Shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price of the option in exchange for surrendering the option. Each option granted on or after February 24, 2011 has an associated net settlement right. In lieu of exercising the option, the net settlement right grants the option holder the right to receive the number of Common Shares that could be acquired with the excess value of the market price of the Common Shares at the time of exercise over the exercise price of the option.

RATINGS

The following information relating to Cenovus's credit ratings is provided as it relates to the Corporation's financing costs and liquidity. Specifically, credit ratings affect Cenovus's ability to obtain short-term and long-term financing and the cost of such financing. A reduction in the current rating on Cenovus's debt by the Corporation's rating agencies or a negative change in its ratings outlook could adversely affect Cenovus's cost of financing, its access to sources of liquidity and capital, and potentially obligate it to post incremental collateral in the form of cash, letters of credit or other financial instruments. See "Risk Factors" in this AIF for further information.

The following table outlines the current ratings and outlooks of Cenovus's debt:

	Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P")	Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's")	DBRS Limited ("DBRS")
Senior Unsecured Long-Term Rating Outlook/Trend	BBB Stable	Ba2 Stable	BBB (high) Stable

Credit ratings are intended to provide an independent measure of the credit quality of an issue of securities. The credit ratings assigned by the rating agencies are not recommendations to purchase, hold or sell the securities nor do the ratings comment on market price or suitability for a particular investor. A rating may not remain in effect for any given period of time and, at any time, may be revised or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances so warrant.

S&P's long-term credit ratings are on a rating scale that ranges from AAA to D, which represents the range from highest to lowest quality of such securities rated. A rating of BBB by S&P is within the fourth highest of 10 categories and indicates that the obligation exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation. The addition of a plus (+) or minus (-) designation after a rating indicates the relative standing within the major rating categories. A S&P rating outlook assesses the potential direction of a long-term credit rating over the intermediate term (typically six months to two years). In determining a rating outlook, consideration is given to any changes in the economic and/or fundamental business conditions. A "Stable" outlook indicates that a rating is not likely to change.

Moody's long-term credit ratings are on a rating scale that ranges from Aaa to C, which represents the range from highest to lowest quality of such securities rated. A rating of Ba2 by Moody's is within the fifth highest of nine categories and is assigned

to debt securities which are considered speculative-grade and subject to substantial credit risk. The addition of a 1, 2 or 3 modifier after a rating indicates the relative standing within a particular rating category. The modifier 1 indicates that the issue ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category, the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking and the modifier 3 indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category. A designation of Stable indicates a low likelihood of a rating change over the medium term.

DBRS's long-term credit ratings are on a rating scale that ranges from AAA to D, which represents the range from highest to lowest quality of such securities rated. A rating of BBB (high) by DBRS is within the fourth highest of 10 categories and is assigned to debt securities considered to be of adequate credit quality. The capacity for payment of financial obligations is considered acceptable. Entities in the BBB category may be vulnerable to future events. The assignment of a "(high)" or "(low)" modifier within each rating category indicates relative standing within such category. Rating trends provide guidance in respect of DBRS' opinion regarding the outlook for the rating in question, with rating trends falling into one of three categories - "Positive", "Stable" or "Negative". The rating trend indicates the direction in which DBRS considers the rating is headed should present tendencies continue, or in some cases, unless challenges are addressed.

Throughout the last two years, Cenovus has made payments to each of S&P, Moody's and DBRS related to the rating of the Corporation's debt. Additionally, Cenovus has purchased products and services from S&P and Moody's.

DIVIDENDS

The declaration of dividends is at the sole discretion of Cenovus's Board and is considered each quarter. Effective the first quarter of 2016, Cenovus reduced the quarterly dividend by 69 percent from \$0.16 to \$0.05 per Common Share. The Board has approved a first quarter dividend of \$0.05 per share payable on March 31, 2017 to holders of Common Shares of record as of March 15, 2017. Readers should also refer to risk factors "Risk Factors – Financial Risks – Ability to Pay Dividends" for additional information.

Cenovus paid the following dividends over the last three years:

Dividends Paid (\$ per share)

	Year	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
2016	0.2000	0.0500	0.0500	0.0500	0.0500
2015	0.8524	0.1600	0.1600	0.2662	0.2662
2014	1.0648	0.2662	0.2662	0.2662	0.2662

MARKET FOR SECURITIES

All of the outstanding Common Shares are listed and posted for trading on the TSX and the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol CVE. The following table outlines the share price trading range and volume of shares traded by month in 2016:

	TSX				NYSE			
	Share Price Trading Range			Share Volume	Share Price Trading Range			Share Volume
	High	Low	Close		High	Low	Close	
	(\$ per share)			(thousands)	(US\$ per share)			(thousands)
January	18.15	15.71	17.26	108,176	12.82	10.76	12.29	58,904
February	17.19	12.70	15.48	105,528	12.44	9.10	11.42	66,848
March	18.14	15.39	16.90	93,522	13.97	11.41	13.00	49,865
April	20.11	16.12	19.89	83,386	16.07	12.25	15.84	45,076
May	20.52	18.30	19.77	70,938	15.80	14.11	15.08	43,105
June	21.00	16.92	17.87	88,827	16.56	12.90	13.82	41,919
July	18.93	17.23	18.69	67,412	14.52	13.11	14.30	41,757
August	20.06	17.68	18.95	54,907	15.72	13.47	14.45	31,370
September	19.84	17.15	18.83	76,582	15.35	12.93	14.37	40,938
October	21.39	18.33	19.35	72,319	15.96	13.96	14.44	40,029
November	21.26	17.96	20.77	69,538	15.82	13.36	15.46	33,592
December	22.07	20.18	20.30	70,528	16.82	14.96	15.13	36,665

RISK FACTORS

Cenovus's operations are exposed to a number of risks, some that impact the oil and gas industry as a whole and others that are unique to the Corporation's operations. The impact of any risk or a combination of risks may adversely affect, among other things, the Corporation's business, reputation, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, which may reduce or restrict Cenovus's ability to pay a dividend to its shareholders and may materially affect the market price of its securities.

The Corporation's approach to risk management includes compliance with the Board approved Enterprise Risk Management Policy and the related enterprise risk management framework and program, as well as integration with Cenovus's Operations Management System. It includes an annual review of Cenovus's principal and emerging risks, an analysis of the severity and likelihood of each principal risk, consideration of the Corporation's current mitigation and an evaluation if additional mitigation or treatment of the risk is required. In addition, Cenovus continuously monitors its risk profile as well as industry best practices.

FINANCIAL RISKS

Financial risks include, but are not limited to: fluctuations in commodity prices; royalty regimes and tax laws; volatile capital markets; development and operating costs; availability of capital and access to sufficient liquidity; fluctuations in foreign exchange and interest rates; risks related to Cenovus's hedging activities; and risks related to the Corporation's ability to pay a dividend to shareholders. Changes in global economic conditions could impact a number of factors including, but not limited to, Cenovus's cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and growth, the maintenance of Cenovus's existing operations, financial strength of the Corporation's counterparties, access to capital and cost of borrowing.

Commodity Prices

The Corporation's financial performance is significantly dependent on the prevailing prices of crude oil, natural gas and refined products. Crude oil prices are impacted by a number of factors including, but not limited to: the supply of and demand for crude oil; economic conditions; the actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC") including, without limitation, compliance or non-compliance with quotas agreed upon by OPEC members and decisions by OPEC not to impose production quotas on its members; government regulation; political stability; market access constraints and transportation interruptions (pipeline, marine or rail); the availability of alternate fuel sources; and weather conditions. Natural gas prices are impacted by a number of factors including, but not limited to: North American supply and demand; developments related to the market for liquefied natural gas; weather conditions; and prices of alternate sources of energy. Refined product prices are impacted by a number of factors including, but not limited to: global supply and demand for refined products; market competitiveness; levels of refined product inventories; refinery availability; planned and unplanned refinery maintenance; and weather. All of these factors are beyond Cenovus's control and can result in a high degree of price volatility. Fluctuations in currency exchange rates further compound this volatility when the commodity prices, which are generally set in U.S. dollars, are stated in Canadian dollars.

Cenovus's financial performance is also impacted by discounted or reduced commodity prices for its oil production relative to certain international benchmark prices, due, in part, to constraints on the ability to transport and sell products to international markets and the quality of oil produced. Of particular importance to Cenovus are diluent cost and supply and the price differentials between bitumen and both light to medium crude oil and heavy crude oil. Bitumen is more expensive for refineries to process and therefore trades at a discount to the market price for light and medium crude oil and heavy oil.

The financial performance of Cenovus's refining operations is impacted by the relationship, or margin, between refined product prices and the prices of refinery feedstock. Margin volatility is impacted by numerous conditions including, but not limited to: fluctuations in the supply and demand for refined products; market competitiveness; crude oil costs; and weather. Refining margins are subject to seasonal factors as production changes to match seasonal demand. Sales volumes, prices, inventory levels and inventory values will fluctuate accordingly. Future refining margins are uncertain and decreases in refining margins may have a negative impact on the Corporation's business.

Fluctuations in the price of commodities, associated price differentials and refining margins may impact the value of Cenovus's assets, the Corporation's ability to maintain its business and to fund growth projects including, but not limited to, the continued development of its oil sands properties. Prolonged periods of commodity price volatility may also negatively impact Cenovus's ability to meet guidance targets and meet all of its financial obligations as they come due. Any substantial or extended decline in these commodity prices may result in a delay or cancellation of existing or future drilling, development or construction programs, curtailment in production, unutilized long-term transportation commitments and/or low utilization levels at the Corporation's refineries.

Cenovus conducts an annual assessment of the carrying value of its assets in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. If crude oil and natural gas prices decline significantly and remain at low levels for an extended period of time, the carrying value of the Corporation's assets may be subject to impairment and the Corporation's net earnings could be adversely affected.

Development and Operating Costs

Cenovus's financial performance is significantly affected by the cost of developing and operating its assets. Development and operating costs are affected by a number of factors including, but not limited to: development, adoption and success of new technologies; inflationary price pressure; scheduling delays; failure to maintain quality construction and manufacturing standards; and supply chain disruptions, including access to skilled labour. Electricity, water, diluent, chemicals, supplies, reclamation, abandonment and labour costs are examples of operating costs that are susceptible to significant fluctuation.

Hedging Activities

Cenovus's Market Risk Mitigation Policy, which has been approved by the Board, allows Management to use derivative instruments to help mitigate the impact of changes in oil and natural gas prices, diluent or condensate supply prices and refining margins. Cenovus also uses derivative instruments in various operational markets to help optimize its

supply cost or sales. The Corporation may also utilize derivative instruments to help mitigate the potential impact of changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates.

The use of such hedging activities exposes the Corporation to risks which may cause significant loss. These risks include, but are not limited to: changes in the valuation of the hedge instrument being not well correlated to the change in the valuation of the underlying exposures being hedged; deficiency in the Corporation's systems or controls; human error; and the unenforceability of Cenovus's contracts.

There is risk that the consequences of hedging to protect against unfavourable market conditions may limit the benefit to Cenovus of commodity price increases or changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates. The Corporation may also suffer financial loss due to hedging arrangements if it is unable to produce oil, natural gas or refined products to fulfill its delivery obligations related to the underlying physical transaction.

Exposure to Counterparties

In the normal course of business, Cenovus enters into contractual relationships with suppliers, partners and other counterparties in the energy industry and other industries for the provision and sale of goods and services. If such counterparties do not fulfill their contractual obligations, the Corporation may suffer financial losses, may have to delay its development plans or may have to forego other opportunities which may materially impact its financial condition or operational results.

Credit, Liquidity and Availability of Future Financing

The future development of Cenovus's business may be dependent on its ability to obtain additional capital including, but not limited to, debt and equity financing. Unpredictable financial markets, a sustained downturn in the prices of crude oil, refined products, natural gas, or significant unanticipated expenses related to development and maintenance of Cenovus's existing properties and facilities, and the associated credit impacts, may impede the Corporation's ability to secure and maintain cost-effective financing and limit its ability to achieve timely access to capital markets on acceptable terms and conditions. An inability to access capital could affect Cenovus's ability to make future capital expenditures and to meet all of its financial obligations as they come due. The Corporation's ability to obtain additional capital is dependent on, among other things, interest in investments in the energy industry in general and interest in its securities in particular.

As at December 31, 2016, Cenovus had US\$4.75 billion in debt outstanding with no principal payments due until October 2019 (US\$1.3 billion). The Corporation has a \$4.0 billion committed credit facility, with a \$1.0 billion tranche maturing on April 30, 2019 and a \$3.0 billion tranche maturing on November 30, 2019. The entire amount of the committed credit facility was available at

December 31, 2016, to meet operating and capital requirements. Going forward, an inability to access the capital markets, a sustained downturn in the prices of crude oil, refined products, natural gas or significant unanticipated expenses related to development and maintenance of Cenovus's existing properties and facilities could negatively impact the Corporation's liquidity, its credit ratings and its ability to access additional sources of capital. Cenovus is required to comply with various financial and operating covenants under its credit facilities and the indentures governing its debt securities. The Corporation routinely reviews the covenants and may make changes to its development plans, dividend policy, or may take alternative actions to ensure compliance. In the event that Cenovus does not comply with such covenants, its access to capital could be restricted or repayment could be accelerated.

Credit Ratings

The credit rating agencies regularly evaluate the Corporation and its long-term and short-term debt, and their ratings are based on the Corporation's financial strength and a number of factors not entirely within the Corporation's control, including conditions affecting the oil and gas industry generally, and the state of the economy. There can be no assurance that one or more of the Corporation's credit ratings will not be downgraded. A reduction in any of the Corporation's current credit ratings could adversely affect the cost and availability of borrowing, and access to sources of liquidity and capital.

Counterparties and suppliers are often interested in the Corporation's credit ratings when establishing and maintaining contractual business arrangements. The Corporation may be obligated to post collateral in the form of cash, letters of credit or other financial instruments in order to establish or maintain business arrangements, if one or more of its credit ratings falls below certain ratings floors. Additional collateral may be required due to further downgrades below certain ratings floors. Failure to provide adequate risk assurance to counterparties and suppliers may result in the Corporation foregoing or having contractual business arrangements terminated.

Foreign Exchange Rates

Fluctuations in foreign exchange rates may affect Cenovus's results as global prices for crude oil, natural gas and refined products are generally set in U.S. dollars, while many of the Corporation's operating and capital costs are in Canadian dollars. An increase in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar will decrease the revenues received from the sale of the Corporation's oil, natural gas and refined products. Correspondingly, a decrease in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar will increase the revenues received from the sale of the Corporation's oil, natural gas and refined products. In addition, Cenovus has chosen to borrow U.S. dollar long-term debt. A change in the value of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar will result in an increase or decrease

in Cenovus's U.S. dollar denominated debt and related interest expense, as expressed in Canadian dollars. Exchange rate fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Interest Rates

The Corporation may be exposed to fluctuations in interest rates as a result of the use of floating rate securities or borrowings. An increase in interest rates could increase Cenovus's net interest expense and affect how certain liabilities are recorded, both of which could negatively impact its financial results. Additionally, the Corporation is exposed to interest rates upon the refinancing of maturing long-term debt and anticipated future financing needs at prevailing interest rates.

Ability to Pay Dividends

The payment of dividends is at the discretion of the Board. All dividends will be reviewed by the Board and may be increased, reduced or suspended from time to time. Cenovus's ability to pay dividends and

the actual amount of such dividends is dependent upon, among other things, the Corporation's financial performance, its debt covenants and obligations, its ability to meet its financial obligations as they come due, its working capital requirements, its future tax obligations, its future capital requirements, commodity prices and the risk factors set forth in this AIF.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Based on their inherent limitations, disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements, and even those controls determined to be effective can only provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Failure to adequately prevent, detect and correct misstatements could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

OPERATIONAL RISKS

Operational risks are those risks that affect the Corporation's ability to continue operations in the ordinary course of business. In general, Cenovus's operations are subject to general risks affecting the oil and gas industry. The Corporation's operational risks include, but are not limited to: operational and safety considerations; market access constraints and transportation interruptions (pipeline, marine or rail); phased growth execution; uncertainty of reserves and resources estimates; reservoir performance and technical challenges; partner risks; competition; technology limitations; third party claims; land claims; leadership and talent gaps; and information system failures.

Health and Safety

The operation of Cenovus's properties is subject to hazards of finding, recovering, transporting and processing hydrocarbons including, but not limited to: blowouts; fires; explosions; railcar incident or derailment; gaseous leaks; migration of harmful substances; oil spills; corrosion; acts of vandalism and terrorism; and other accidents or hazards that may occur at or during transport to or from commercial or industrial sites. Any of these hazards can interrupt operations, impact the Corporation's reputation, cause loss of life or personal injury, result in loss of or damage to equipment, property, information technology systems, related data and control systems, and cause environmental damage that may include polluting water, land or air.

Market Access Constraints and Transportation Interruptions

Cenovus's production is transported through various pipelines and its refineries are reliant on various pipelines to receive feedstock. Disruptions in, or restricted availability of, pipeline service and/or

marine or rail transport, could adversely affect the Corporation's crude oil and natural gas sales, projected production growth, refining operations and its cash flows.

Interruptions or restrictions in the availability of these pipeline systems may limit the ability to deliver production volumes and could adversely impact commodity prices, sales volumes and/or the prices received for Cenovus's products. These interruptions and restrictions may be caused by the inability of the pipeline to operate, or they may be related to capacity constraints as the supply of feedstock into the system exceeds the infrastructure capacity. There can be no certainty that investments in new pipeline projects, which would result in extra long-term takeaway capacity, will be made by applicable third party pipeline providers or that any applications to expand capacity will receive the required regulatory approval, or that any such approvals will result in the construction of the pipeline project. There is also no certainty that short-term operational constraints on the pipeline system, arising from pipeline interruption and/or increased supply of crude oil, will not occur.

There is no certainty that crude-by-rail, marine transport and other alternative types of transportation for the Corporation's production will be sufficient to address any gaps caused by operational constraints on the pipeline system. In addition, Cenovus's crude-by-rail and marine shipments may be impacted by service delays, inclement weather, railcar derailment or other rail or marine transport incidents and could adversely impact its crude oil sales volumes or the price received for its product or impact the Corporation's reputation or result in legal liability, loss of life or personal injury, loss of equipment or property, or environmental damage. In addition, new

regulations, which will be phased in over time until 2025, will require tank cars used to transport crude oil to be replaced with newer, safer tank cars, or to be retrofitted to meet the same standards. The costs of complying with the new standards, or any further revised standards, will likely be passed on to rail shippers and may adversely affect Cenovus's ability to transport crude-by-rail or the economics associated with rail transportation. Finally, planned or unplanned shutdowns or closures of the Corporation's refinery customers may limit Cenovus's ability to deliver product with negative implications on sales and cash from operating activities.

Operational Considerations

The Corporation's crude oil and natural gas operations are subject to all of the risks normally incidental to: (i) the storing, transporting, processing, refining and marketing of crude oil, natural gas and other related products; (ii) drilling and completion of crude oil and natural gas wells; and (iii) the operation and development of crude oil and natural gas properties including, but not limited to: encountering unexpected formations or pressures; premature declines of reservoir pressure or productivity; fires; explosions; blowouts; power outages; migration of harmful substances into water systems; oil spills; uncontrollable flows of crude oil, natural gas or well fluids; failure to follow operating procedures or operate within established operating parameters; equipment failures and other accidents; adverse weather conditions; pollution; and other environmental risks.

Producing and refining oil requires high levels of investment and involves particular risks and uncertainties. Cenovus's oil operations are susceptible to loss of production, slowdowns, shutdowns, or restrictions on the Corporation's ability to produce higher value products due to the interdependence of its component systems. Delineation of the resources, the costs associated with production, including drilling wells for SAGD operations, and the costs associated with refining oil can entail significant capital outlays. The operating costs associated with oil production are largely fixed in the short-term and, as a result, operating costs per unit are largely dependent on levels of production.

Cenovus's refining and marketing business is subject to all of the risks inherent in the operation of refineries, terminals, pipelines and other transportation and distribution facilities including, but not limited to: loss of product; failure to follow operating procedures or operate within established operating parameters; slowdowns due to equipment failure or transportation disruptions; railcar incidents or derailments; marine transport incidents; weather; fires and/or explosions; unavailability of feedstock; and price and quality of feedstock.

The Corporation does not insure against all potential occurrences and disruptions and it cannot be guaranteed that its insurance will be sufficient to cover any such occurrences or disruptions.

Cenovus's operations could also be interrupted by natural disasters or other events beyond its control.

Uncertainty of Reserves and Future Net Revenue Estimates

The reserves estimates included in this AIF are estimates only. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves, including many factors beyond the Corporation's control. In general, estimates of economically recoverable crude oil and natural gas reserves and the future net cash flows and revenue derived therefrom are based on a number of variable factors and assumptions including, but not limited to: product prices; future operating and capital costs; historical production from the properties and the assumed effects of regulation by governmental agencies, including royalty payments and taxes; initial production rates; production decline rates; and the availability, proximity and capacity of oil and gas gathering systems, pipelines, rail transportation and processing facilities, all of which may vary considerably from actual results.

All such estimates are to some degree uncertain and classifications of reserves are only attempts to define the degree of uncertainty involved. For those reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable crude oil and natural gas reserves attributable to any particular group of properties, classification of such reserves based on risk of recovery and estimates of FNR expected therefrom, prepared by different engineers or by the same engineers at different times, may vary substantially. Cenovus's actual production, revenues, taxes and development and operating expenditures with respect to its reserves may vary from current estimates and such variances may be material.

Estimates with respect to reserves that may be developed and produced in the future are often based on volumetric calculations and upon analogy to similar types of reserves, rather than upon actual production history. Subsequent evaluation of the same reserves based on production history will result in variations, which may be material, in the estimated reserves.

The production rate of oil and gas properties tends to decline as reserves are depleted while the associated operating costs increase. Maintaining an inventory of developable projects to support future production of crude oil and natural gas depends on, among other things: obtaining and renewing rights to explore, develop and produce oil and natural gas; drilling success; completing long-lead time capital intensive projects on budget and on schedule; and the application of successful exploitation techniques on mature properties. Cenovus's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows are highly dependent upon successfully producing current reserves and adding additional reserves.

Project Execution

There are risks associated with the execution and operation of the Corporation's upstream growth and development projects. These risks include, but are not limited to: Cenovus's ability to obtain the

necessary environmental and regulatory approvals; risks relating to schedule, resources and costs, including the availability and cost of materials, equipment and qualified personnel; the impact of general economic, business and market conditions; the impact of weather conditions; risk related to the accuracy of project cost estimates; ability to finance growth; ability to source or complete strategic transactions; and the effect of changing government regulation and public expectations in relation to the impact of oil sands and conventional development on the environment. The commissioning and integration of new facilities within the Corporation's existing asset base could cause delays in achieving targets and objectives. Failure to manage these risks could have a material adverse effect on Cenovus's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Partner Risks

Some of the Corporation's assets are not operated by Cenovus or are held in partnership with others. Therefore, the Corporation's results of operations may be affected by the actions of third party operators or partners.

Interests in certain of the Corporation's upstream assets are held in a partnership with ConocoPhillips, an unrelated U.S. public company, and are operated by Cenovus. The Corporation's refining assets are held in a partnership with Phillips 66, an unrelated U.S. public company, and operated by Phillips 66. The success of Cenovus's refining operations is dependent on the ability of Phillips 66 to successfully operate this business and maintain the refining assets. The Corporation relies on the judgment and operating expertise of Phillips 66 in respect of the operation of such refining assets and Cenovus also relies on Phillips 66 to provide information on the status of such refining assets and related results of operations.

ConocoPhillips or Phillips 66, as unrelated third parties, may have objectives and interests that do not align with or may conflict with the Corporation's interests. Major capital decisions affecting these upstream and refining assets require agreement between each respective partner, while certain operational decisions may be made by the operator of the applicable assets. While Cenovus and its partners generally seek consensus with respect to major decisions concerning the direction and operation of these upstream and refining assets, no assurance can be provided that the future demands or expectations of either party relating to such assets will be satisfactorily met or met in a timely manner or at all. Unmet demands or expectations by either party or demands and expectations which are not satisfactorily met may affect Cenovus's participation in the operation of such assets, the Corporation's ability to obtain or maintain necessary licences or approvals or affect the timing of undertaking various activities.

Competition

The Canadian and international petroleum industry is highly competitive in all aspects, including the exploration for, and the development of, new and

existing sources of supply, the acquisition of crude oil and natural gas interests and the refining, distribution and marketing of petroleum products. Cenovus competes with other producers and refiners, some of which may have lower operating costs or greater resources than the Corporation does. Competing producers may develop and implement recovery techniques and technologies which are superior to those Cenovus employs. The petroleum industry also competes with other industries in supplying energy, fuel and related products to consumers.

Companies may announce plans to enter the oil sands business, to begin production or to expand existing operations. Expansion of existing operations and development of new projects could materially increase the supply of crude oil in the marketplace which may decrease the market price of crude oil, constrain transportation and increase the Corporation's input costs for and constrain the supply of skilled labour and materials.

Technology

Current SAGD technologies for the recovery of bitumen are energy intensive, requiring significant consumption of natural gas in the production of steam that is used in the recovery process. The amount of steam required in the production process varies and therefore impacts costs. The performance of the reservoir can also affect the timing and levels of production using this technology. A large increase in recovery costs could cause certain projects that rely on SAGD technology to become uneconomical, which could have a negative effect on Cenovus's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. There are risks associated with growth and other capital projects that rely largely or partly on new technologies and the incorporation of such technologies into new or existing operations. The success of projects incorporating new technologies cannot be assured.

Litigation

From time to time, the Corporation may be the subject of litigation arising out of its operations. Claims under such litigation may be material or may be indeterminate. Various types of claims may be made including, without limitation, environmental damages, breach of contract, negligence, product liability, antitrust, bribery and other forms of corruption, tax, patent infringement and employment matters. The outcome of such litigation is uncertain and may materially impact Cenovus's financial condition or results of operations. Moreover, unfavorable outcomes or settlements of litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Cenovus may also be subject to adverse publicity associated with such matters, regardless of whether Cenovus is ultimately found responsible. The Corporation may be required to incur significant expenses or devote significant resources in defense against any such litigation.

Land Claims

In western Canada, Aboriginal groups have historically filed claims in respect of their Aboriginal rights and treaty rights against the governments of Canada and Alberta, and other government bodies, which may affect Cenovus's business. In particular, Aboriginal groups have claimed Aboriginal title and rights to a substantial portion of western Canada. In 2014, the Supreme Court of Canada granted Aboriginal title over non-treaty lands, representing the first occurrence of such a declaration. There exist outstanding Aboriginal and treaty rights claims, which may include Aboriginal title claims, on lands where Cenovus operates. Such claims have the potential to have an adverse effect on operations in affected areas. No certainty exists that any lands currently unaffected by claims brought by Aboriginal groups will remain unaffected by future claims. Recent outcomes of litigation concerning Aboriginal rights may result in increased claims and litigation activity in the future.

In May 2016, Canada announced its support for the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples ("UNDRIP"). The principles and objectives of UNDRIP have also been endorsed by the Government of Alberta. The means of implementation of UNDRIP by government bodies are uncertain and may include an increase in consultation obligations and processes associated with project development, posing risks and creating uncertainty with respect to project regulatory approval timelines and requirements.

Leadership and Talent

Cenovus's success in executing its business strategy is dependent upon Management's ability to source, develop and retain the required competencies to support current and future operations. Failure to attract and retain critical talent with the necessary leadership, professional and technical competencies, could have a material adverse effect on Cenovus's results of operations, pace of growth and financial condition.

Information Systems

Cenovus relies heavily on information technology, such as computer hardware and software systems, in order to properly operate its business. In the event the Corporation is unable to regularly deploy software and hardware, effectively upgrade systems and network infrastructure, and take other steps to maintain or improve the efficiency and efficacy of systems, the operation of such systems could be interrupted or result in the loss, corruption, or release of data. In addition, information systems could be damaged or interrupted by natural disasters, force majeure events, telecommunications failures, power loss, acts of war or terrorism, computer viruses, malicious code, physical or electronic security breaches, intentional or inadvertent user misuse or error, or similar events or disruptions. Any of these or other events could cause interruptions, delays, loss of critical and/or sensitive data or similar effects, which could have a material adverse impact on the protection of intellectual property, and confidential and proprietary information, and on Cenovus's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In the ordinary course of business, Cenovus collects, uses and stores sensitive data, including intellectual property, proprietary business information and personal information of Cenovus's employees and third parties. Despite Cenovus's security measures, Cenovus's information systems, technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers and/or cyberterrorists or breaches due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise information used or stored on Cenovus's systems and/or networks and, as a result, the information could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, regulatory penalties or other negative consequences, including disruption to Cenovus's operations and damage to Cenovus's reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on Cenovus's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

ENVIRONMENTAL & REGULATORY RISKS

Cenovus's industry and its operations are subject to regulation and intervention under federal, provincial, territorial, state and municipal legislation in Canada and the U.S. in matters such as, but not limited to: land tenure; permitting of production projects; royalties; taxes (including income taxes); government fees; production rates; environmental protection controls; protection of certain species or lands; provincial and federal land use designations; the reduction of GHGs and other emissions; the export of crude oil, natural gas and other products; the transportation of crude-by-rail or marine transport; the awarding or acquisition of exploration and production, oil sands or other interests; the

imposition of specific drilling obligations; control over the development, abandonment and reclamation of fields (including restrictions on production); and/or facilities and possibly expropriation or cancellation of contract rights. Changes to government regulation could impact Cenovus's existing and planned projects or increase capital investment or operating expenses, adversely impacting the Corporation's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Regulatory Approvals

Cenovus's operations require it to obtain approvals from various regulatory authorities and there are no

guarantees that it will be able to obtain all necessary licences, permits and other approvals that may be required to carry out certain exploration and development activities on its properties. In addition, obtaining certain approvals from regulatory authorities can involve, among other things, stakeholder and Aboriginal consultation, environmental impact assessments and public hearings. Regulatory approvals obtained may be subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions including, but not limited to: security deposit obligations; ongoing regulatory oversight of projects; mitigating or avoiding project impacts; habitat assessments; and other commitments or obligations. Failure to obtain applicable regulatory approvals or satisfy any of the conditions thereto on a timely basis on satisfactory terms could result in delays, abandonment or restructuring of projects and increased costs.

Abandonment and Reclamation Cost Risk

The current oil and gas asset abandonment, reclamation and remediation (“A&R”) liability regime in Alberta as a general rule limits each party’s liability to its proportionate ownership of an asset. In the case where one joint owner becomes insolvent and is unable to fund the A&R activities, the solvent counterparties can claim the insolvent party’s share of the remediation costs against the Orphan Well Association (the “OWA”). The OWA administers orphaned assets and is funded through a levy imposed on licencees, including Cenovus, based on their proportionate share of deemed A&R liabilities for oil and gas facilities, wells and unreclaimed sites in Alberta. Saskatchewan has a similar regime.

In May 2016, the Alberta Court of Queen’s Bench issued a decision in the case of Redwater Energy Corporation, (Re) (“Redwater”) that trustees and receivers of insolvent parties may disclaim or renounce uneconomic oil and gas assets to the AER before commencing the sales process for the insolvent party’s assets. These wells and facilities then become “orphans” to be remediated by the OWA. Prior to Redwater, the sales process for the insolvent party’s assets would have typically included both the economic and uneconomic assets, and only in instances where the sales process failed to sell all of the assets, would the remaining assets be classified as orphaned assets by the AER and disclaimed to the OWA. Redwater is currently under appeal by the AER and the OWA.

In June 2016, in response to Redwater, the AER released Bulletin 2016-16 which, among other things, implements important changes to the AER’s procedures relating to liability management ratings, licence eligibility and transfers. The governments of British Columbia and Saskatchewan have announced similar policies within those provinces. These changes may impact Cenovus’s ability to transfer its licences, approvals or permits, and may result in increased costs and delays or require changes to or abandonment of projects and transactions.

Because of Redwater and the current economic environment, the number of orphaned wells in Alberta has increased significantly and, accordingly, the aggregate value of the A&R liabilities assumed by the OWA has increased and may continue to increase. The OWA may seek funding for such liabilities from industry participants, including Cenovus through an increase in its annual levy, further changes to regulations or other means. While the impact on Cenovus of any legislative, regulatory or policy decisions as a result of the Redwater decision and its pending appeal cannot be reliably or accurately estimated, any cost recovery or other measures taken by applicable regulatory bodies may impact Cenovus and materially and adversely affect, among other things, Cenovus’s business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Royalty Regimes

The Corporation’s cash flows may be directly affected by changes to royalty regimes. The governments of Alberta and Saskatchewan receive royalties on the production of hydrocarbons from lands in which they respectively own the mineral rights. Government regulation of Crown royalties is subject to change for a number of reasons, including, among other things, political factors. Royalties are typically calculated based on benchmark prices, productivity per well, location, date of discovery, recovery method, well depth and the nature and quality of petroleum product produced. There is also a mineral tax in each province levied on hydrocarbon production from lands in which the Crown does not own the mineral rights. The potential for changes in the royalty and mineral tax regimes applicable in the provinces in which Cenovus operates creates uncertainty relating to the ability to accurately estimate future Crown burdens.

Alberta Royalty Review

On January 1, 2017, the Government of Alberta implemented a modernized royalty framework (the “Modernized Framework”) based on recommendations of the Royalty Review Advisory Panel. The Modernized Framework will apply to all conventional wells spud on or after January 1, 2017. The Modernized Framework does not apply to oil sands production, which has its own separate royalty framework. Wells spud prior to July 13, 2016 will continue to operate under the previous royalty framework (the “Old Framework”). Wells spud between such dates may elect to opt-in to the Modernized Framework if certain criteria are met. After December 31, 2026, all wells will be subject to the Modernized Framework.

Under the Modernized Framework, royalties are determined on a “revenue-minus-costs” basis, with the cost component based on a drilling and completion cost allowance formula for each well, which is dependent on the vertical depth, horizontal length of the well and proppant placed. The formula is based on the industry’s average drilling and

completion costs as determined by the Alberta Department of Energy (“ADOE”) on an annual basis. The cost component attempts to incentivize innovation to reduce costs by allowing wells that operate under the average cost to remain at a lower rate of royalty even after recovering actual costs. Producers pay a flat royalty rate of five percent of gross revenue from each well that is subject to the Modernized Framework until the well reaches payout. Payout for a well is the point at which cumulative revenues from the well equals the drilling and completion cost allowance for the well set by the ADOE. After payout, producers pay an increased post-payout royalty on revenues determined by reference to the then current commodity prices of the various hydrocarbons. Similar to the Old Framework, the post-payout royalty rate under the Modernized Framework varies with commodity prices. Once production in a mature well drops below a threshold level where the rate of production is too low to sustain the full royalty burden, its royalty rate will be adjusted downward as the mature well’s production declines, to a minimum of five percent. The drilling and completion cost allowance formula, post-payout royalty rates and production thresholds for mature wells came into effect on January 1, 2017.

As part of the Modernized Framework, the Alberta government announced two new strategic royalty programs to encourage oil and gas producers to boost production and explore resources in new areas: the Enhanced Hydrocarbon Recovery Program and the Emerging Resources Program. These programs will take into account the higher costs associated with development of emerging resources and enhanced recovery methods when calculating royalty rates.

The royalty structure and rates for oil sands production in Alberta remain generally unchanged following the royalty review. The Government of Alberta has indicated that it plans to modernize the process of calculating costs and collecting oil sands royalties, and to improve disclosure of cost, revenue and collection information relating to oil sands projects and royalties.

Further changes to any of the royalty regimes in Alberta, changes to the existing royalty regime in Saskatchewan, changes to how existing royalty regimes are interpreted and applied by the applicable governments, or an increase in disclosure obligations for the Corporation could have a significant impact on the Corporation’s financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. An increase in the royalty rates in either of Alberta or Saskatchewan would reduce the Corporation’s earnings and could make, in the respective province, future capital expenditures or existing operations uneconomic. A material increase in royalties or mineral taxes may reduce the value of the Corporation’s associated assets.

Tax Laws

Income tax laws, other laws or government incentive programs may in the future be changed or interpreted in a manner that adversely affects Cenovus and its shareholders. Tax authorities having jurisdiction over Cenovus may disagree with the manner in which the Corporation calculates its tax liabilities such that its provision for income taxes may not be sufficient, or such authorities could change their administrative practices to Cenovus’s detriment or the detriment of its shareholders. In addition, all of the Corporation’s tax filings are subject to audit by tax authorities who may disagree with such filings in a manner that adversely affects Cenovus and its shareholders.

United States Tax Risk

In November 2016, the U.S. elected a new Republican president. The Republicans control both the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate. The new administration is reported to be considering comprehensive U.S. tax reform that could have a significant impact on Cenovus’s financial condition or results from operations, however any impact is not presently quantifiable.

Environmental Regulations

All phases of crude oil, natural gas and refining operations are subject to environmental regulation pursuant to a variety of Canadian and U.S. federal, provincial, territorial, state and municipal laws and regulations (collectively, environmental regulations). Environmental regulations provide that wells, facility sites, refineries and other properties and practices associated with the Corporation’s operations be constructed, operated, maintained, abandoned, reclaimed and undertaken in accordance with the requirements set out therein. In addition, certain types of operations, including exploration and development projects and changes to certain existing projects, may require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments or permit applications. Environmental regulations impose, among other things, restrictions, liabilities and obligations in connection with the generation, handling, use, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous substances and waste and in connection with spills, releases and emissions of various substances in the environment. They also impose restrictions, liabilities and obligations in connection with the management of water sources that are being used, or whose use is contemplated, in connection with oil and gas operations. The complexities of changes in environmental regulations make it difficult to predict the potential future impact to Cenovus.

Compliance with environmental regulations can require significant expenditures, including costs and damages arising from releases or contaminated properties or spills, or from new compliance obligations. We anticipate that future capital expenditures and operating expenses could continue to increase as a result of the implementation of new environmental regulations. Failure to comply with environmental regulations may result in the

imposition of fines, penalties and environmental protection orders. The costs of complying with environmental regulation may have a material adverse effect on Cenovus's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. The implementation of new environmental regulations or the modification of existing environmental regulations affecting the crude oil and natural gas industry generally could reduce demand for crude oil and natural gas and increase compliance costs, and have an adverse impact on the Corporation's operations.

Failure to comply with environmental regulations could have an adverse impact on Cenovus's reputation. There is also risk that Cenovus could face litigation initiated by third parties relating to climate change or other environmental regulations.

Climate Change Regulation

Various federal, provincial and state governments have announced intentions to regulate GHG emissions and other air pollutants. Some of these regulations are in effect while others remain in various phases of review, discussion or implementation in the U.S. and Canada. Uncertainties exist relating to the timing and effects of these regulations. Additionally, lack of certainty regarding how any future federal legislation will harmonize with provincial or state regulations makes it difficult to accurately determine the cost estimate of climate change legislation compliance with certainty, including the effects of compliance with such initiatives on the Corporation's suppliers and service providers.

Alberta Climate Leadership Plan

The Alberta Climate Leadership Plan introduced a new GHG emissions pricing regime. The *Climate Leadership Act* (the "CLA") received royal assent on June 13, 2016 and came into force on January 1, 2017. The *Climate Leadership Regulation* ("CL Regulation"), which provides further detail in respect of the carbon levy regime set out in the CLA, was released on November 3, 2016, and also came into force on January 1, 2017. The CLA establishes an Alberta carbon pricing regime in the form of a carbon levy on various types of fuel, based on rates of \$20 per tonne of GHG emissions as of January 1, 2017 and \$30 per tonne for 2018. The carbon levy revenue will be used to fund initiatives to reduce GHG emissions, to support Alberta's ability to adapt to climate change and for rebates or adjustments related to the carbon levy to consumers, businesses, and communities in addition to a household rebate program.

The CLA and the CL Regulation impose registration, payment, remittance, reporting and administrative obligations on applicable persons throughout the fuel supply chain. The application of the carbon levy depends on the type and quantity of fuel purchased or produced and how such fuel is used by the purchaser. Under the CLA and CL Regulations, facilities subject to the *Specified Gas Emitters Regulation* (Alberta) (the "SGER") (which includes Cenovus's operating oil sands assets) are exempt

from the carbon levy. Activities integral to oil and gas production processes are exempt until 2023. At this time, the determination of what constitutes an activity that is "integral" to conventional oil and gas production is still being clarified with the Alberta government. We expect the Corporation's operations to have minimal direct carbon levy exposure until 2023. It is not known what will occur in 2023 when the current exemptions are expected to end.

The Corporation is subject to the SGER, which requires owners of facilities that emit 100,000 tonnes per year or more of GHG to reduce the facility's emissions intensity by 20 percent below an average baseline of the facility's historic emissions performance. Owners may meet the reduction requirements in one of four ways: (1) physically abating emissions intensity at their facilities; (2) purchasing or using Alberta-based emission offset credits; (3) purchasing or using emission performance credits, which are credits generated by facilities that have emissions below the SGER requirements; or (4) purchasing technology offset credits by contributing to Emissions Reduction Alberta at a price of \$30 per tonne. Facility owners must submit SGER compliance reports to Alberta Environment and Parks on March 31 of each year. Beginning in 2018, facilities subject to the SGER will transition from a historic emissions performance baseline to an output-based allocation approach.

In addition to GHG emissions pricing, the Climate Leadership Plan sets forth two additional components relevant to the oil and gas sector: (1) limiting oil sands emissions to a province-wide total of 100 megatonnes per year (compared to current industry emissions levels of approximately 70 megatonnes per year), with certain exceptions for cogeneration power sources and new upgrading capacity; and (2) reducing methane emissions from oil and gas activities by 45 percent by 2025.

Additional changes to provincial climate change legislation may adversely affect for the Corporation's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows which cannot be reliably or accurately estimated at this time.

Federal Carbon Strategy

In October 2016, Canada ratified the Paris Agreement on climate change that was signed by Canada and over 160 other nations at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in December of 2015. Though the specific details of how Canada will accomplish the goals set out in the Paris Agreement have not yet been announced, in October 2016 the federal government announced a new national carbon pricing regime (the "Carbon Strategy") that will support the objectives of the Paris Agreement. Under the Carbon Strategy, all provinces will be required to adopt a carbon pricing scheme that includes, at a minimum, a price on carbon emissions of \$10 per tonne in 2018, rising by \$10 per tonne each year to \$50 per tonne in 2022. If the provinces do not adopt such a scheme, a federal regime will be imposed upon them and the funds will be transferred back to the provincial

government of the jurisdiction from where they were collected. Alternatively, provinces will be given the opportunity to implement a cap-and-trade system, but will need to demonstrate that the province's emissions are consistent with both Canada's national target and the results of the provinces who have implemented the carbon pricing scheme.

On December 9, 2016, all of the provinces and territories except for Saskatchewan and Manitoba signed the pan-Canadian framework to implement the Carbon Strategy. Further legislation and regulation is expected from the provinces in order to comply with the Carbon Strategy's requirements. For those provinces, including Alberta, which have already established a carbon tax or a cap and trade regime, or both, the national price on carbon will likely have little additional impact in the short term. None of the provinces have yet announced how they intend to comply with the long-term carbon pricing requirements. It is unclear how the Carbon Strategy will be implemented in Saskatchewan and Manitoba.

Adverse impacts to Cenovus's business as a result of comprehensive GHG legislation or regulation, including the CLA and the Carbon Strategy applied to the Corporation's business in Alberta or any jurisdiction in which the Corporation operates, may include, but are not limited to: increased compliance costs; permitting delays; substantial costs to generate or purchase emission credits or allowances adding costs to the products Cenovus produces; and reduced demand for crude oil and certain refined products. Emission allowances or offset credits may not be available for acquisition or may not be available on an economic basis. Required emission reductions may not be technically or economically feasible to implement, in whole or in part, and failure to meet such emission reduction requirements or other compliance mechanisms may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business resulting in, among other things, fines, permitting delays, penalties and the suspension of operations. Consequently, no assurances can be given that the effect of future climate change regulations will not be significant to Cenovus.

Beyond existing legal requirements, the extent and magnitude of any adverse impacts of any additional programs or additional regulations cannot be reliably or accurately estimated at this time because specific legislative and regulatory requirements have not been finalized and uncertainty exists with respect to the additional measures being considered and the time frames for compliance.

Low Carbon Fuel Standards

Existing and proposed environmental legislation developed by certain U.S. states, Canadian provinces, the Canadian federal government and members of the European Union, regulating carbon fuel standards could result in increased costs and reduced revenue. The potential regulation may negatively affect the marketing of Cenovus's bitumen, crude oil or refined products, and may require the Corporation to purchase emissions credits in order to affect sales in such jurisdictions.

The state of California has implemented climate change regulation in the form of a Low Carbon Fuel Standard that requires the reduction of life cycle carbon emissions from transportation fuels. As an oil sands producer, Cenovus is not directly regulated and is not expected to have a compliance obligation. Refiners in California are required to comply with the legislation.

Renewable Fuel Standards

Cenovus's U.S. refining operations are subject to various laws and regulations that impose stringent and costly requirements. Of specific note is the *Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007* ("EISA 2007") that established energy management goals and requirements. Pursuant to EISA 2007, among other things, the Environmental Protection Agency issued the Renewable Fuel Standard program that mandates the total volume of renewable transportation fuel sold or introduced in the U.S. and requires renewable fuels such as ethanol and advanced biofuels to be blended with gasoline by the obligated party. The mandate requires the volume of renewable fuels blended into finished petroleum products to increase over time until 2022. To the extent refineries do not blend renewable fuels into their finished products, they must purchase credits, referred to as Renewable Identification Numbers ("RINs"), in the open market. A RIN is a number assigned to each gallon of renewable fuel produced or imported into the U.S. RIN numbers were implemented to provide refiners with flexibility in complying with the renewable fuel standards.

The Corporation's refineries do not blend renewable fuels into the motor fuel products they produce and, consequently, Cenovus through WRB is obligated to purchase RINs in the open market, where prices fluctuate. In the future, the regulations could change the volume of renewable fuels required to be blended with refined products, creating volatility in the price for RINs or an insufficient number of RINs being available in order to meet the requirements. The Corporation's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows may be materially adversely impacted as a result.

Alberta's Land-Use Framework

Alberta's Land-Use Framework has been implemented under the *Alberta Land Stewardship Act* ("ALSA") which sets out the Government of Alberta's approach to managing Alberta's land and natural resources to achieve long-term economic, environmental and social goals. In some cases, ALSA amends or extinguishes previously issued consents such as regulatory permits, licences, approvals and authorizations in order to achieve or maintain an objective or policy resulting from the implementation of a regional plan.

The Government of Alberta implemented the Lower Athabasca Regional Plan ("LARP") on September 1, 2012, which was issued under the ALSA. The LARP identifies legally-binding management frameworks, including for air, land and water, that will incorporate cumulative limits and triggers as well as

identifying areas related to conservation, tourism and recreation. Cenovus received financial compensation from the Government of Alberta related to some of its non-core oil sands mineral rights that were cancelled. The cancelled mineral rights had no direct impact on the Corporation's business plan, its current operations at Foster Creek and Christina Lake, or on any of its filed applications. Uncertainty exists with respect to the impact to future development applications in the areas covered by the LARP, including the potential for development restrictions and mineral rights cancellation.

The Government of Alberta has also implemented the South Saskatchewan Regional Plan ("SSRP"), the second and similar regional plan to be developed under the ALSA. This plan applies to Cenovus's conventional oil and gas operations in southern Alberta. To date, the SSRP is not expected to materially impact Cenovus's existing conventional oil and gas operations, but no assurance can be given that future expansion of these operations will not be affected.

The Government of Alberta has completed the Phase I consultation on the North Saskatchewan Regional Plan ("NSRP"), and the regional planning process has commenced. This plan will apply to Cenovus's operations in central Alberta. No assurance can be given that the NSRP, or any future regional plans developed and implemented by the Government of Alberta, will not materially impact operations or future operations in this region.

The Government of Alberta has also announced four additional regional plans under ALSA which may apply to Cenovus's landholdings and operations in other areas of Alberta, but development of these plans has not yet begun.

Species at Risk Act

The Canadian federal legislation, *Species at Risk Act*, and provincial counterparts regarding threatened or endangered species may limit the pace and the amount of development in areas identified as critical habitat for species of concern (e.g. woodland caribou). Recent litigation against the federal government in relation to the *Species at Risk Act* has raised issues associated with the protection of species at risk and their critical habitat both federally and on a provincial level. In Alberta, the Alberta Caribou Action and Range Planning Project has been established to develop range plans and action plans with a view to achieving the maintenance and recovery of Alberta's 15 caribou populations. The federal and/or provincial implementation of measures to protect species at risk such as woodland caribou and their critical habitat in areas of Cenovus's current or future operations may modify the Corporation's pace and amount of development. If action and range plans developed by the Province are deemed not to provide sufficient likelihood of caribou recovery, the federal legislation includes the ability to implement measures that would preclude further development or modify existing operations.

Federal Air Quality Management System

The Multi-sector Air Pollutants Regulations ("MAPR"), issued under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999*, seek to protect the environment and health of Canadians by setting mandatory, nationally-consistent air pollutant emission standards. The MAPR are aimed at equipment-specific Base-Level Industrial Emissions Requirements ("BLIERS"). Nitrogen oxide BLIERS from the Corporation's non-utility boilers, heaters and reciprocating engines are regulated in accordance with specified performance standards. Cenovus does not anticipate a material impact to existing or future operations as a result of the MAPR.

Federal Review of Environmental and Regulatory Processes

In 2016, the Government of Canada commenced a review of environmental and regulatory processes under various acts and is scheduled to release various reports in 2017 for public comment. Legislative, regulatory or policy changes may follow the public comment period.

The extent and magnitude of any adverse impacts of changes to the legislation or programs on project development and operations cannot be reliably or accurately estimated at this time as uncertainty exists with respect to recommendations being considered. Increased environmental assessment obligations may create risk of increased costs and project development delays.

Water Licences

Cenovus currently utilizes fresh water in certain operations, which is obtained under licences issued pursuant to the *Water Act* (Alberta) to provide, for example, domestic and utility water at the Corporation's SAGD facilities and for its bitumen delineation programs. Currently, the Corporation is not required to pay for the water it uses under these licences. If a change under these licences reduces the amount of water available for the Corporation's use, its production could decline or operating expenses could increase, both of which may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business and financial performance. There can be no assurance that the licences to withdraw water will not be rescinded or that additional conditions will not be added to these licences. There can be no assurance that Cenovus will not have to pay a fee for the use of water in the future or that any such fees will be reasonable. In addition, the expansion of the Corporation's projects rely on securing licences for additional water withdrawal, and there can be no assurance that these licences will be granted on terms favourable to Cenovus, or at all, or that such additional water will in fact be available to divert under such licences.

Alberta Wetland Policy

Wetland management within Alberta is regulated by section 36 of the *Water Act*, together with the Alberta Wetland Policy and the Provincial Wetland Restoration and Compensation Guide. Before

undertaking an activity within a wetland, approval must be obtained in accordance with the *Water Act* and the *Water Ministerial Regulation*.

Pursuant to the Alberta Wetland Policy, developers of oil and gas assets in wetlands areas may be required to avoid the wetlands or mitigate the development's effects on wetlands. The Alberta Wetland Policy categorizes wetlands based on environmental value, and wetlands with the highest environmental value require the greatest efforts on behalf of proponents to avoid developmental impacts. Proponents must complete a wetland assessment and impact report and utilize the Alberta Wetland Mitigation Directive to mitigate impacts to wetlands from any activities they are proposing.

The Alberta Wetland Policy is not expected to affect Cenovus's existing operations in Foster Creek, Christina Lake and Narrows Lake, where the Corporation's 10 year wetlands mitigation and monitoring plans were approved under the previous wetland policy.

New project developments and future phase expansions will likely be affected by aspects of this

REPUTATION RISKS

Cenovus relies on its reputation to build and maintain positive relationships with its stakeholders, to recruit and retain staff, and to be a credible, trusted company. Any actions the Corporation takes that cause negative public opinion have the potential to negatively impact Cenovus's reputation which may adversely affect its share price, its development plans and its ability to continue operations.

Public Perception of Alberta Oil Sands

Development of the Alberta oil sands has received considerable attention in recent public commentary on the subjects of environmental impact, climate change and GHG emissions. Despite that much of the focus is on bitumen mining operations and not in-situ production, public concerns about oil sands generally and GHG emissions and water and land use practices in oil sands developments specifically may, directly or indirectly, impair the profitability of the Corporation's current oil sands projects, and the

policy. Cenovus's oil sands leases are in areas where wetlands cover over 50 percent of the landscape. 'Avoidance' may not be an option for new projects, developments and phase expansions. Cenovus expects to be required to comply with requirements for wetland reclamation or, where permanent wetland loss will occur, wetland replacement. In accordance with the Alberta Wetland Restoration Directive, 2016, mechanisms for restorative replacement include purchase of credits (under development), payment to an in-lieu fee program, or permittee-responsible replacement action.

Based on written statements in the Alberta Wetland Mitigation Directive, 2016 and consultation with Alberta Environment and Parks (AEP) as well as the AER, Cenovus does not anticipate a material impact; however, with the change in the provincial government and the involvement of multiple agencies it is unclear how this policy will be implemented. At this time, no assurance can be given that the policy will not have an impact on future development plans.

viability of future oil sands projects, by creating significant regulatory uncertainty leading to uncertain economic modeling of current and future projects and delays relating to the sanctioning of future projects.

Negative consequences which could arise as a result of changes to the current regulatory environment include, but are not limited to, extraordinary environmental and emissions regulation of current and future projects by governmental authorities, which could result in changes to facility design and operating requirements, thereby potentially increasing the cost of construction, operation and abandonment. In addition, legislation or policies that limit the purchase of crude oil or bitumen produced from the oil sands may be adopted in domestic and/or foreign jurisdictions, which, in turn, may limit the world market for this crude oil, reduce its price and may result in stranded assets or an inability to further develop oil resources.

OTHER RISK FACTORS

Arrangement Related Risk

Cenovus has certain post-Arrangement indemnification and other obligations under each of the arrangement agreement (the "Arrangement Agreement") and the separation and transition agreement (the "Separation Agreement"), both of which are among Encana, 7050372 and Subco, dated October 20, 2009 and November 30, 2009 respectively, entered in connection with the Arrangement. Encana and Cenovus have agreed to indemnify each other for certain liabilities and obligations associated with, among other things, in the case of Encana's indemnity, the business and assets retained by Encana, and in the case of Cenovus's indemnity, the Cenovus business and

assets. At the present time, the Corporation cannot determine whether it will have to indemnify Encana for any substantial obligations under the terms of the Arrangement. Cenovus also cannot assure that if Encana has to indemnify Cenovus and its affiliates for any substantial obligations, Encana will be able to satisfy such obligations.

A discussion of additional risks, should they arise after the date of this AIF, which may impact Cenovus's business, prospects, financial condition, results of operation and cash flows, and in some cases its reputation, can be found in the Corporation's most recent MD&A, available at sedar.com, sec.gov and cenovus.com.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2016, there were no legal proceedings to which Cenovus is or was a party, or that any of its property is or was the subject of, which involves a claim for damages in an amount, exclusive of interest and costs, that exceeds 10 percent of Cenovus's current assets and it is not aware of any such legal proceedings that are contemplated.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, there were no penalties or sanctions imposed against Cenovus by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority, nor have there been any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body against the Corporation that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision, and it has not entered into any settlement agreements before a court relating to securities legislation or with a securities regulatory authority.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

None of the Corporation's directors or executive officers or any person or company that beneficially owns, or controls or directs, directly or indirectly, more than ten percent of any class or series of Cenovus's outstanding voting securities, of which there are none that the Corporation is aware, or any associate or affiliate of any of the foregoing persons or companies, in each case, as at the date of this AIF, has or has had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any past transaction within the three most recently completed financial years or any proposed transaction that has materially affected or is reasonably expected to materially affect Cenovus.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

During the year ended December 31, 2016, Cenovus has not entered into any contracts, nor are there any contracts still in effect, that are material to the business, other than contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business and each of the Arrangement Agreement and the Separation Agreement, as described under "Risk Factors – Other Risk Factors – Arrangement Related Risk".

INTERESTS OF EXPERTS

The Corporation's independent auditors are PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Chartered Professional Accountants, who have issued an independent auditor's report dated February 15, 2017 in respect of Cenovus's Consolidated Financial Statements which comprise the Consolidated Balance Sheets as at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 and the Consolidated Statements of Earnings, Comprehensive Income, Shareholders' Equity and Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 and Cenovus's internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2016. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has advised that they are independent with respect to Cenovus within the meaning of the Code of Professional Conduct of the Chartered Professional Accountants of Alberta and the rules of the SEC.

Information relating to reserves in this AIF has been calculated by GLJ and McDaniel as independent qualified reserves evaluators. The principals of each of GLJ and McDaniel, in each case, as a group own beneficially, directly or indirectly, less than one percent of any class of the Corporation's securities.

TRANSFER AGENTS AND REGISTRARS

In Canada:

Computershare Investor Services Inc.
8th Floor, 100 University Avenue
Toronto, ON M5J 2Y1
Canada

In the United States:

Computershare Trust Company NA
250 Royall St.
Canton, MA 02021
U.S.

Tel: 1-866-332-8898

Website: www.investorcentre.com/cenovus

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to Cenovus is available on SEDAR at sedar.com and EDGAR at sec.gov. Additional financial information is contained in the Corporation's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016. Additional information, including directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of Cenovus's securities, securities authorized for issuance under its equity-based compensation plans and its statement of corporate governance practices, is included in the Corporation's management information circular for its most recent annual meeting of shareholders.

Additional financial information, including disclosure regarding the contribution of each reportable segment to revenues and earnings can be found in Cenovus's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2016, which disclosure is incorporated by reference into this AIF.

As a Canadian corporation listed on the NYSE, Cenovus is not required to comply with most of the NYSE's corporate governance standards, and instead may comply with Canadian corporate governance

practices. However, the Corporation is required to disclose the significant differences between its corporate governance practices and the requirements applicable to U.S. domestic companies listed on the NYSE. Except as summarized on Cenovus's website at cenovus.com, it is in compliance with the NYSE corporate governance standards in all significant respects.

ACCOUNTING MATTERS

Unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars. All references to "dollars", "C\$" or to "\$" are to Canadian dollars and all references to "US\$" are to U.S. dollars. The information contained in this AIF is dated as at December 31, 2016 unless otherwise indicated. Numbers presented are rounded to the nearest whole number and tables may not add due to rounding.

Unless otherwise indicated, all financial information included in this AIF has been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, which are also generally accepted accounting principles for publicly accountable enterprises in Canada.

ABBREVIATIONS AND CONVERSIONS

Oil and Natural Gas Liquids

bbl	barrel
bbls/d	barrels per day
Mbbls/d	thousand barrels per day
MMbbls	million barrels
NGLs	natural gas liquids
BOE	barrel of oil equivalent
BOE/d	barrels of oil equivalent per day
WTI	West Texas Intermediate

Natural Gas

Bcf	billion cubic feet
Mcf	thousand cubic feet
MMcf	million cubic feet
MMcf/d	million cubic feet per day
MMBtu	million British thermal units
CBM	Coal Bed Methane

In this AIF, certain natural gas volumes have been converted to BOE on the basis of six Mcf to one bbl. BOE may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A conversion ratio of six Mcf to one bbl is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent value equivalency at the wellhead.

TM denotes a trademark of Cenovus Energy Inc.

APPENDIX A

REPORT ON RESERVES DATA BY INDEPENDENT QUALIFIED RESERVES EVALUATORS

To the Board of Directors of Cenovus Energy Inc. (the "Corporation"):

1. We have evaluated the Corporation's reserves data as at December 31, 2016. The reserves data are estimates of proved reserves and probable reserves and related future net revenue as at December 31, 2016, estimated using forecast prices and costs.
2. The reserves data are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the reserves data based on our evaluation.
3. We carried out our evaluation in accordance with standards set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook as amended from time to time (the "COGE Handbook") maintained by the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (Calgary Chapter).
4. Those standards require that we plan and perform an evaluation to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the reserves data are free of material misstatement. An evaluation also includes assessing whether the reserves data are in accordance with principles and definitions presented in the COGE Handbook.
5. The following table shows the net present value of future net revenue (before deduction of income taxes) attributed to proved plus probable reserves, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10 percent, included in the reserves data of the Corporation evaluated for the year ended December 31, 2016, and identifies the respective portions thereof that we have evaluated and reported on to the Corporation's Board of Directors:

Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator	Effective Date of Evaluation Report	Location of Reserves	Evaluated Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue (before income taxes, 10% discount rate) \$ millions
McDaniel & Associates Consultants Ltd.	December 31, 2016	Canada	\$23,995
GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd.	December 31, 2016	Canada	\$1,262
			<u>\$25,257</u>

6. In our opinion, the reserves data respectively evaluated by us have, in all material respects, been determined and are in accordance with the COGE Handbook, consistently applied.
7. We have no responsibility to update our reports referred to in paragraph five for events and circumstances occurring after their respective effective dates.
8. Because the reserves data are based on judgments regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material.

Executed as to our report referred to above:

/s/ P.A. Welch

P.A. Welch, P. Eng.
McDaniel & Associates Consultants Ltd.
Calgary, Alberta, Canada

/s/ Keith M. Braaten

Keith M. Braaten, P. Eng.
GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd.
Calgary, Alberta, Canada

February 14, 2017

APPENDIX B

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS ON RESERVES DATA AND OTHER INFORMATION

Management of Cenovus Energy Inc. (the "Corporation") are responsible for the preparation and disclosure of information with respect to the Corporation's oil and gas activities in accordance with securities regulatory requirements. This information includes reserves data.

Independent qualified reserves evaluators have evaluated the Corporation's reserves data. A report of the independent qualified reserves evaluators will be filed with securities regulatory authorities concurrently with this report.

The Reserves Committee of the Board of Directors of the Corporation has:

- (a) reviewed the Corporation's procedures for providing information to the independent qualified reserves evaluators;
- (b) met with the independent qualified reserves evaluators to determine whether any restrictions affected the ability of the independent qualified reserves evaluators to report without reservation;
- (c) reviewed the reserves data with management and the independent qualified reserves evaluators; and
- (d) reviewed the Corporation's procedures for assembling and reporting other information associated with oil and gas activities and has reviewed that information with management.

The Board of Directors, on the recommendation of the Reserves Committee, has approved:

- (a) the content and filing with securities regulatory authorities of the reserves data and other oil and gas information;
- (b) the filing of the report of the independent qualified reserves evaluators on the reserves data; and
- (c) the content and filing of this report.

Because the reserves data are based on judgments regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material.

/s/ Brian C. Ferguson

Brian C. Ferguson
President & Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Ivor M. Ruste

Ivor M. Ruste
Executive Vice-President &
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Michael A. Grandin

Michael A. Grandin
Director and Chair of the Board

/s/ Wayne G. Thomson

Wayne G. Thomson
Director and Chair of the Reserves Committee

February 15, 2017

APPENDIX C

AUDIT COMMITTEE MANDATE

The Audit Committee (the "Committee") is a committee of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Cenovus Energy Inc. ("Cenovus" or the "Corporation") appointed to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities.

The Committee's primary duties and responsibilities are to:

- Oversee and monitor the effectiveness and integrity of the Corporation's accounting and financial reporting processes, financial statements and system of internal controls regarding accounting and financial reporting compliance.
- Oversee audits of the Corporation's financial statements.
- Review and evaluate the Corporation's risk management framework and related processes including the supporting guidelines and practice documents.
- Review and approve management's identification of principal financial risks and monitor the process to manage such risks.
- Oversee and monitor the Corporation's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.
- Oversee and monitor the qualifications, independence and performance of the Corporation's external auditors and internal auditing group.
- Provide an avenue of communication among the external auditors, management, the internal auditing group, and the Board.
- Report to the Board regularly.

The Committee has the authority to conduct any review or investigation appropriate to fulfilling its responsibilities. The Committee shall have unrestricted access to personnel and information, and any resources necessary to carry out its responsibility. In this regard, the Committee may direct internal audit personnel to particular areas of examination.

CONSTITUTION, COMPOSITION AND DEFINITIONS

1. **Reporting**

The Committee shall report to the Board.

2. **Composition**

The Committee shall consist of not less than three and not more than eight directors as determined by the Board, all of whom shall qualify as independent directors pursuant to National Instrument 52-110 Audit Committees (as implemented by the Canadian Securities Administrators ("CSA") and as amended from time to time) ("NI 52-110").

All members of the Committee shall be financially literate, as defined in NI 52-110, and at least one member shall have accounting or related financial managerial expertise. In particular, at least one member shall have, through (i) education and experience as a principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller, public accountant or auditor or experience in one or more positions that involve the performance of similar functions; (ii) experience actively supervising a principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller, public accountant, auditor or person performing similar functions; (iii) experience overseeing or assessing the performance of companies or public accountants with respect to the preparation, auditing or evaluation of financial statements; or (iv) other relevant experience:

- An understanding of accounting principles and financial statements;
- The ability to assess the general application of such principles in connection with the accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves;
- Experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Corporation's

financial statements, or experience actively supervising one or more persons engaged in such activities;

- An understanding of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting; and
- An understanding of audit committee functions.

Committee members may not, other than in their respective capacities as members of the Committee, the Board or any other committee of the Board, accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation, or be an "affiliated person" (as such term is defined in the *United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and the rules, if any, adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") thereunder) of the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation. For greater certainty, directors' fees and fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with the Corporation that are not contingent on continued service should be the only compensation an Audit Committee member receives from the Corporation.

At least one member shall have experience in the oil and gas industry.

Committee members shall not simultaneously serve on the audit committees of more than two other public companies, unless the Board first determines that such simultaneous service will not impair the ability of the relevant members to effectively serve on the Committee, and required public disclosure is made.

The non-executive Board Chair shall be a non-voting member of the Committee. See "Quorum" for further details.

3. Appointment of Committee Members

Committee members shall be appointed by the Board, effective after the election of directors at the annual meeting of shareholders, provided that any member may be removed or replaced at any time by the Board and shall, in any event, cease to be a member of the Committee upon ceasing to be a member of the Board.

4. Vacancies

Where a vacancy occurs at any time in the membership of the Committee, it may be filled by the Board.

5. Chair

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will recommend for approval to the Board an unrelated Director to act as Chair of the Committee. The Board shall appoint the Chair of the Committee.

If unavailable or unable to attend a meeting of the Committee, the Chair shall ask another member to chair the meeting, failing which a member of the Committee present at the meeting shall be chosen to preside over the meeting by a majority of the members of the Committee present at such meeting.

The Chair presiding at any meeting of the Committee shall not have a casting vote.

The items pertaining to the Chair in this section should be read in conjunction with the Committee Chair section of the Chair of the Board of Directors and Committee Chair General Guidelines.

6. Secretary

The Committee shall appoint a Secretary who need not be a member of the Committee. The Secretary shall keep minutes of the meetings of the Committee.

7. Meetings

The Committee shall meet at least quarterly. The Chair of the Committee may call additional meetings as required. In addition, a meeting may be called by the non-executive Board Chair, the Chief Executive Officer, or any member of the Committee or by the external auditors.

Committee meetings may, by agreement of the Chair of the Committee, be held in person, by video conference, by means of telephone or by a combination of any of the foregoing.

8. Notice of Meeting

Notice of the time and place of each Committee meeting may be given orally, or in writing, or by facsimile, or by electronic means to each member of the Committee at least 24 hours prior to the time fixed for such meeting. Notice of each meeting shall also be given to the external auditors of the Corporation.

A member and the external auditors may, in any manner, waive notice of the Committee meeting. Attendance of a member at a meeting shall constitute waiver of notice of the meeting except where a member attends a meeting for the express purpose of objecting to the transaction of any business on the grounds that the meeting was not lawfully called.

9. Quorum

A majority of Committee members, present in person, by video conference, by telephone, or by a combination thereof, shall constitute a quorum. In addition, if an ex officio, non-voting member's presence is required to attain a quorum of the Committee, then the said member shall be allowed to cast a vote at the meeting.

10. Attendance at Meetings

The Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Comptroller and the head of internal audit are expected to be available to attend the Committee's meetings or portions thereof.

The Committee may, by specific invitation, have other resource persons in attendance.

The Committee shall have the right to determine who shall, and who shall not, be present at any time during a meeting of the Committee.

Directors, who are not members of the Committee, may attend Committee meetings, on an ad hoc basis, upon prior consultation and approval by the Committee Chair or by a majority of the members of the Committee.

11. Minutes

Minutes of each Committee meeting should be succinct yet comprehensive in describing substantive issues discussed by the Committee. However, they should clearly identify those items of responsibilities scheduled by the Committee for the meeting that have been discharged by the Committee and those items of responsibilities that are outstanding.

Minutes of Committee meetings shall be sent to all Committee members and to the external auditors. The full Board of Directors shall be kept informed of the Committee's activities by a report following each Committee meeting.

RESPONSIBILITIES

In carrying out its mandate, the Committee is expected to:

12. Review Procedures

- (a) Review and update the Committee's mandate annually, or sooner if the Committee deems it appropriate to do so. Review the summary of the Committee's composition and responsibilities in the Corporation's annual report, annual information form or other public disclosure documentation.
- (b) Review the summary of all approvals by the Committee of the provision of audit, audit-related, tax and other services by the external auditors for inclusion in the Corporation's annual report and Annual Information Form filed with the CSA and the SEC.

13. Annual Financial Statements

- (a) Discuss and review with management and the external auditors the Corporation's and any subsidiary with public securities' annual audited financial statements and related documents prior to their filing or distribution. Such review shall include:
 - (i) The annual financial statements and related notes including significant issues regarding accounting principles, practices and significant management estimates and judgments,

including any significant changes in the Corporation's selection or application of accounting principles, any major issues as to the adequacy of the Corporation's internal controls and any special steps adopted in light of material control deficiencies.

- (ii) Management's Discussion and Analysis.
 - (iii) The use of off-balance sheet financing including management's risk assessment and adequacy of disclosure.
 - (iv) The external auditors' audit examination of the financial statements and their report thereon.
 - (v) Any significant changes required in the external auditors' audit plan.
 - (vi) Any serious difficulties or disputes with management encountered during the course of the audit, including any restrictions on the scope of the external auditors' work or access to required information.
 - (vii) Other matters related to the conduct of the audit, which are to be communicated to the Committee under generally accepted auditing standards.
- (b) Review and formally recommend approval to the Board of the Corporation's:
- (i) Year-end audited financial statements. Such review shall include discussions with management and the external auditors as to:
 - i. The accounting policies of the Corporation and any changes thereto.
 - ii. The effect of significant judgments, accruals and estimates.
 - iii. The manner of presentation of significant accounting items.
 - iv. The consistency of disclosure.
 - (ii) Management's Discussion and Analysis.
 - (iii) Annual Information Form as to financial information.
 - (iv) All prospectuses and information circulars as to financial information.

The review shall include a report from the external auditors about the quality of the most critical accounting principles upon which the Corporation's financial status depends, and which involve the most complex, subjective or significant judgmental decisions or assessments.

14. Quarterly Financial Statements

- (a) Review with management and the external auditors and either approve (such approval to include the authorization for public release) or formally recommend for approval to the Board the Corporation's:
 - (i) Quarterly unaudited financial statements and related documents, including Management's Discussion and Analysis.
 - (ii) Any significant changes to the Corporation's accounting principles.
- (b) Review quarterly unaudited financial statements prior to their distribution of any subsidiary of the Corporation with public securities.

15. Other Financial Filings and Public Documents

Review and discuss with management financial information, including earnings press releases, the use of "pro forma" or non-GAAP financial information and earnings guidance, contained in any filings with the CSA or SEC or press releases related thereto, and consider whether the information is consistent with the information contained in the financial statements of the Corporation or any subsidiary with public securities.

16. Internal Control Environment

- (a) Receive and review from management, the external auditors and the internal auditors an annual report on the Corporation's control environment as it pertains to the Corporation's financial reporting process and controls.

- (b) Review and discuss significant financial risks or exposures and assess the steps management has taken to monitor, control, report and mitigate such risk to the Corporation.
- (c) Review in consultation with the internal auditors and the external auditors the degree of coordination in the audit plans of the internal auditors and the external auditors and enquire as to the extent the planned scope can be relied upon to detect weaknesses in internal controls, fraud, or other illegal acts. The Committee will assess the coordination of audit effort to assure completeness of coverage and the effective use of audit resources. Any significant recommendations made by the auditors for the strengthening of internal controls shall be reviewed and discussed with management.
- (d) Review with the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation and the external auditors: (i) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of the Corporation's internal controls and procedures for financial reporting which could adversely affect the Corporation's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act or applicable Canadian federal and provincial legislation and regulations within the required time periods, and (ii) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management of the Corporation or other employees who have a significant role in the Corporation's internal controls and procedures for financial reporting.
- (e) Review significant findings prepared by the external auditors and the internal auditing department together with management's responses.

17. Risk Oversight

Review and evaluate the Corporation's risk management framework and related processes including the supporting guidelines and practice documents.

18. Other Review Items

- (a) Review policies and procedures with respect to officers' and directors' expense accounts and perquisites, including their use of corporate assets, and consider the results of any review of these areas by the internal auditor or the external auditors.
- (b) Review all related party transactions between the Corporation and any executive officers or directors, including affiliations of any executive officers or directors.
- (c) Review with the General Counsel, the head of internal audit and the external auditors the results of their review of the Corporation's monitoring compliance with each of the Corporation's published codes of business conduct and applicable legal requirements.
- (d) Review legal and regulatory matters, including correspondence with and reports received from regulators and government agencies, that may have a material impact on the interim or annual financial statements and related corporate compliance policies and programs. Members from the Legal and Tax groups should be at the meeting in person to deliver their respective reports.
- (e) Review policies and practices with respect to off-balance sheet transactions and trading and hedging activities, and consider the results of any review of these areas by the internal auditors or the external auditors.
- (f) Ensure that the Corporation's presentation of hydrocarbon reserves has been reviewed with the Reserves Committee of the Board.
- (g) Review management's processes in place to prevent and detect fraud.
- (h) Review:
 - (i) procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Corporation, including confidential, anonymous submissions by employees of the Corporation, regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters; and
 - (ii) a summary of any significant investigations regarding such matters.
- (i) Meet on a periodic basis separately with management.

19. External Auditors

- (a) Be directly responsible, in the Committee's capacity as a committee of the Board and subject to the rights of shareholders and applicable law, for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the external auditors (including resolution of disagreements between management and the external auditors regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report, or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Corporation. The external auditors shall report directly to the Committee.
- (b) Meet on a regular basis with the external auditors (without management present) and have the external auditors be available to attend Committee meetings or portions thereof at the request of the Chair of the Committee or by a majority of the members of the Committee.
- (c) Review and discuss a report from the external auditors at least quarterly regarding:
 - (i) All critical accounting policies and practices to be used;
 - (ii) All alternative treatments within accounting principles for policies and practices related to material items that have been discussed with management, including the ramifications of the use of such alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred by the external auditors; and
 - (iii) Other material written communications between the external auditors and management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences.
- (d) Obtain and review a report from the external auditors at least annually regarding:
 - (i) The external auditors' internal quality-control procedures.
 - (ii) Any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, of the external auditors, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, respecting one or more independent audits carried out by the external auditors, and any steps taken to deal with those issues.
 - (iii) To the extent contemplated in the following paragraph, all relationships between the external auditors and the Corporation.
- (e) Review and discuss at least annually with the external auditors all relationships that the external auditors and their affiliates have with the Corporation and its affiliates in order to determine the external auditors' independence, including, without limitation, (i) receiving and reviewing, as part of the report described in the preceding paragraph, a formal written statement from the external auditors delineating all relationships that may reasonably be thought to bear on the independence of the external auditors with respect to the Corporation and its affiliates, (ii) discussing with the external auditors any disclosed relationships or services that the external auditors believe may affect the objectivity and independence of the external auditors, and (iii) recommending that the Board take appropriate action in response to the external auditors' report to satisfy itself of the external auditors' independence.
- (f) Review and evaluate annually:
 - (i) The external auditors' and the lead partner of the external auditors' team's performance, and make a recommendation to the Board of Directors regarding the reappointment of the external auditors at the annual meeting of the Corporation's shareholders or regarding the discharge of such external auditors.
 - (ii) The terms of engagement of the external auditors together with their proposed fees.
 - (iii) External audit plans and results.
 - (iv) Any other related audit engagement matters.
 - (v) The engagement of the external auditors to perform non-audit services, together with the fees therefor, and the impact thereof, on the independence of the external auditors.
 - (vi) Review the Annual Report of the Canadian Public Accountability Board ("CPAB") concerning audit quality in Canada and discuss implications for Cenovus.
 - (vii) Review any reports issued by CPAB regarding the audit of Cenovus.

- (g) Conduct periodically a comprehensive review of the external auditor, with the outcome intended to assist the Committee to identify potential areas for improvement for the audit firm, and to reach a final conclusion on whether the auditor should be reappointed or the audit put out for tender.
- (h) Upon reviewing and discussing the information provided to the Committee in accordance with paragraphs 19.(c) through (f), evaluate the external auditors' qualifications, performance and independence, including whether or not the external auditors' quality controls are adequate and the provision of permitted non-audit services is compatible with maintaining auditor independence, taking into account the opinions of management and the head of internal audit. The Committee shall present to the Board its conclusions in this respect.
- (i) Review the rotation of partners on the audit engagement team in accordance with applicable law. Consider whether, in order to assure continuing external auditor independence, it is appropriate to adopt a policy of rotating the external auditing firm on a regular basis.
- (j) Set clear hiring policies for the Corporation's hiring of employees or former employees of the external auditors.
- (k) Consider with management and the external auditors the rationale for employing audit firms other than the principal external auditors.
- (l) Consider and review with the external auditors, management and the head of internal audit:
 - (i) Significant findings during the year and management's responses and follow-up thereto.
 - (ii) Any difficulties encountered in the course of their audits, including any restrictions on the scope of their work or access to required information, and management's response.
 - (iii) Any significant disagreements between the external auditors or internal auditors and management.
 - (iv) Any changes required in the planned scope of their audit plan.
 - (v) The resources, budget, reporting relationships, responsibilities and planned activities of the internal auditors.
 - (vi) The internal audit department mandate.
 - (vii) Internal audit's compliance with the Institute of Internal Auditors' standards.

20. Internal Audit Group and Independence

- (a) Meet on a periodic basis separately with the head of internal audit.
- (b) Review and concur in the appointment, compensation, replacement, reassignment, or dismissal of the head of internal audit.
- (c) Confirm and assure, annually, the independence of the internal audit group and the external auditors.

21. Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services

- (a) Review and, where appropriate, approve the provision of all permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) in advance of the provision of those services by the external auditors (subject to the de minimus exception for non-audit services described in the Exchange Act or applicable CSA and SEC legislation and regulations, which services are approved by the Committee prior to the completion of the audit).
- (b) Review and, where appropriate and permitted, approve the provision of all audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) in advance of the provision of those services by the external auditors.
- (c) If the pre-approvals contemplated in paragraphs 21.(a) and (b) are not obtained, approve, where appropriate and permitted, the provision of all audit and non-audit services promptly after the Committee or a member of the Committee to whom authority is delegated becomes aware of the provision of those services.
- (d) Delegate, if the Committee deems necessary or desirable, to subcommittees consisting of one or more members of the Committee, the authority to grant the pre-approvals and approvals

described in paragraphs 21.(a) through (c). The decision of any such subcommittee to grant pre-approval shall be presented to the full Committee at the next scheduled Committee meeting.

- (e) Establish policies and procedures for the pre-approvals described in paragraphs 21.(a) and (b) so long as such policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service, the Committee is informed of each service and such policies and procedures do not include delegation to management of the Committee's responsibilities under the Exchange Act or applicable CSA and SEC legislation and regulations.

22. Other Matters

- (a) Review and concur in the appointment, replacement, reassignment, or dismissal of the Chief Financial Officer.
- (b) Upon a majority vote of the Committee outside resources may be engaged where and if deemed advisable.
- (c) Report Committee actions to the Board of Directors with such recommendations as the Committee may deem appropriate.
- (d) Conduct or authorize investigations into any matters within the Committee's scope of responsibilities. The Committee shall be empowered to retain, obtain advice or otherwise receive assistance from independent counsel, accountants, or others to assist it in the conduct of any investigation as it deems necessary and the carrying out of its duties.
- (e) Determine the appropriate funding for payment by the Corporation (i) of compensation to the external auditors for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Corporation, (ii) of compensation to any advisors employed by the Committee, and (iii) of ordinary administrative expenses of the Committee that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties.
- (f) Obtain assurance from the external auditors that no disclosure to the Committee is required pursuant to the provisions of the Exchange Act regarding the discovery of illegal acts by the external auditors.
- (g) Review and reassess the adequacy of this Mandate annually and recommend any proposed changes to the Board for approval.
- (h) Consider for implementation any recommendations of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board with respect to the Committee's effectiveness, structure, processes or mandate.
- (i) Perform such other functions as required by law, the Corporation's by-laws or the Board of Directors.
- (j) Consider any other matters referred to it by the Board of Directors.

Revised Effective: February 10, 2015

APPENDIX D

NETBACK RECONCILIATIONS

Netback is a non-GAAP measure commonly used in the oil and gas industry to assist in measuring operating performance on a per-unit basis. Netback is defined as gross sales less royalties, transportation and blending, operating expenses and production and mineral taxes divided by sales volumes. Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold. Netbacks reflect Cenovus's margin on a per-barrel basis of unblended bitumen and crude oil. As such, the bitumen and crude oil sales price, transportation and blending costs, and sales volumes exclude the impact of purchased condensate. Condensate is blended with the bitumen and heavy oil to reduce its thickness in order to transport it to market. Our Netback calculation is aligned with the definition found in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the financial components comprising Netbacks (in millions of dollars) to the nearest GAAP measure found in the annual and interim consolidated financial statements.

Bitumen

(\$ millions) Year ended	Basis of Netback Calculation			Adjustments		Per Consolidated Financial Statements ⁽¹⁾
	Foster Creek	Christina Lake	Total Bitumen	Condensate	Inventory ⁽²⁾	Total Oil Sands Crude Oil
December 31, 2016						
Gross Sales	773	736	1,509	1,402	-	2,911
Royalties	-	9	9	-	-	9
Transportation and Blending	225	137	362	1,402	(44)	1,720
Operating	269	217	486	-	-	486
Netback	279	373	652	-	44	696
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management						(179)
Operating Margin						875
Three months ended December 31, 2016						
Gross Sales	283	260	543	408	-	951
Royalties	(2)	4	2	-	-	2
Transportation and Blending	53	31	84	408	-	492
Operating	77	61	138	-	-	138
Netback	155	164	319	-	-	319
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management						(14)
Operating Margin						333
Three months ended September 30, 2016						
Gross Sales	236	215	451	337	-	788
Royalties	1	3	4	-	-	4
Transportation and Blending	59	33	92	337	-	429
Operating	68	57	125	-	-	125
Netback	108	122	230	-	-	230
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management						(35)
Operating Margin						265
Three months ended June 30, 2016						
Gross Sales	189	196	385	322	-	707
Royalties	1	2	3	-	-	3
Transportation and Blending	65	34	99	322	(26)	395
Operating	57	44	101	-	-	101
Netback	66	116	182	-	26	208
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management						(24)
Operating Margin						232
Three months ended March 31, 2016						
Gross Sales	65	65	130	335	-	465
Royalties	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transportation and Blending	48	39	87	335	(18)	404
Operating	67	55	122	-	-	122
Netback	(50)	(29)	(79)	-	18	(61)
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management						(106)
Operating Margin						45

(1) Found in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold.

Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium) and NGLs

Year ended	Basis of Netback Calculation				Adjustments			Per Consolidated Financial Statements ⁽¹⁾
	Heavy Crude Oil	Light and Medium Crude Oil	NGLs	Heavy, Light and Medium Crude Oil & NGLs	Condensate	Inventory ⁽²⁾	Other	Total Conventional Crude Oil & NGLs
December 31, 2016								
Gross Sales	380	442	11	833	103	-	-	936
Royalties	35	88	2	125	-	-	-	125
Transportation and Blending	49	25	-	74	103	(7)	-	170
Operating	142	149	-	291	-	-	(4)	287
Production and Mineral Taxes	-	12	-	12	-	-	-	12
Netback	154	168	9	331	-	7	4	342
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(60)
Operating Margin								402
Three months ended December 31, 2016								
Gross Sales	108	127	4	239	27	-	-	266
Royalties	11	34	-	45	-	-	-	45
Transportation and Blending	13	6	-	19	27	-	-	46
Operating	39	37	-	76	-	-	(2)	74
Production and Mineral Taxes	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	3
Netback	45	47	4	96	-	-	2	98
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(2)
Operating Margin								100
Three months ended September 30, 2016								
Gross Sales	104	114	3	221	21	-	-	242
Royalties	10	21	1	32	-	-	-	32
Transportation and Blending	13	6	-	19	21	-	-	40
Operating	32	33	-	65	-	-	-	65
Production and Mineral Taxes	-	4	-	4	-	-	-	4
Netback	49	50	2	101	-	-	-	101
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(7)
Operating Margin								108
Three months ended June 30, 2016								
Gross Sales	95	116	1	212	27	-	-	239
Royalties	10	20	1	31	-	-	-	31
Transportation and Blending	10	6	-	16	27	(3)	-	40
Operating	31	39	-	70	-	-	-	70
Production and Mineral Taxes	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	3
Netback	44	48	-	92	-	3	-	95
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(11)
Operating Margin								106
Three months ended March 31, 2016								
Gross Sales	73	85	3	161	28	-	-	189
Royalties	4	13	-	17	-	-	-	17
Transportation and Blending	13	7	-	20	28	(4)	-	44
Operating	40	40	-	80	-	-	(2)	78
Production and Mineral Taxes	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	2
Netback	16	23	3	42	-	4	2	48
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(40)
Operating Margin								88

(1) Found in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold.

Bitumen, Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium) and NGLs

 Per Consolidated
Financial
Statements⁽¹⁾

(\$ millions)

Year ended	Basis of Netback Calculation			Adjustments			Total Crude Oil & NGLs
	Bitumen, and Heavy, Light and Medium Crude Oil	NGLs	Bitumen, Heavy, Light and Medium Crude Oil and NGLs	Condensate	Inventory ⁽²⁾	Other	
December 31, 2016							
Gross Sales	2,331	11	2,342	1,505	-	-	3,847
Royalties	132	2	134	-	-	-	134
Transportation and Blending	436	-	436	1,505	(51)	-	1,890
Operating Production and Mineral Taxes	777	-	777	-	-	(4)	773
Netback	974	9	983	-	51	4	1,038
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management							(239)
Operating Margin							1,277
Three months ended December 31, 2016							
Gross Sales	778	4	782	435	-	-	1,217
Royalties	47	-	47	-	-	-	47
Transportation and Blending	103	-	103	435	-	-	538
Operating Production and Mineral Taxes	214	-	214	-	-	(2)	212
Netback	411	4	415	-	-	2	417
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management							(16)
Operating Margin							433
Three months ended September 30, 2016							
Gross Sales	669	3	672	358	-	-	1,030
Royalties	35	1	36	-	-	-	36
Transportation and Blending	111	-	111	358	-	-	469
Operating Production and Mineral Taxes	190	-	190	-	-	-	190
Netback	329	2	331	-	-	-	331
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management							(42)
Operating Margin							373
Three months ended June 30, 2016							
Gross Sales	596	1	597	349	-	-	946
Royalties	33	1	34	-	-	-	34
Transportation and Blending	115	-	115	349	(29)	-	435
Operating Production and Mineral Taxes	171	-	171	-	-	-	171
Netback	274	-	274	-	29	-	303
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management							(35)
Operating Margin							338
Three months ended March 31, 2016							
Gross Sales	288	3	291	363	-	-	654
Royalties	17	-	17	-	-	-	17
Transportation and Blending	107	-	107	363	(22)	-	448
Operating Production and Mineral Taxes	202	-	202	-	-	(2)	200
Netback	(40)	3	(37)	-	22	2	(13)
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management							(146)
Operating Margin							133

(1) Found in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold.

Total Bitumen, Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium), NGLs and Natural Gas

Year ended	Basis of Netback Calculation			Adjustments			Per Consolidated Financial Statements ⁽¹⁾	
	Bitumen, Heavy, Light and Medium Crude Oil and NGLs	Natural Gas	Total Bitumen, Heavy, Light and Medium Crude Oil, NGLs and Natural Gas	Condensate	Inventory ⁽²⁾	Other	Other Products	Total Upstream
December 31, 2016								
Gross Sales	2,342	335	2,677	1,505	-	2	12	4,196
Royalties	134	14	148	-	-	-	-	148
Transportation and Blending	436	17	453	1,505	(51)	-	-	1,907
Operating	777	165	942	-	-	(6)	9	945
Production and Mineral Taxes	12	-	12	-	-	-	-	12
Netback	983	139	1,122	-	51	8	3	1,184
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(237)
Operating Margin								1,421
Three months ended December 31, 2016								
Gross Sales	782	105	887	435	-	-	4	1,326
Royalties	47	6	53	-	-	-	-	53
Transportation and Blending	103	5	108	435	-	-	-	543
Operating	214	44	258	-	-	(3)	-	255
Production and Mineral Taxes	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	3
Netback	415	50	465	-	-	3	4	472
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(15)
Operating Margin								487
Three months ended September 30, 2016								
Gross Sales	672	90	762	358	-	1	2	1,123
Royalties	36	3	39	-	-	-	-	39
Transportation and Blending	111	4	115	358	-	-	-	473
Operating	190	37	227	-	-	-	3	230
Production and Mineral Taxes	4	-	4	-	-	-	-	4
Netback	331	46	377	-	-	1	(1)	377
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(42)
Operating Margin								419
Three months ended June 30, 2016								
Gross Sales	597	55	652	349	-	-	2	1,003
Royalties	34	2	36	-	-	-	-	36
Transportation and Blending	115	5	120	349	(29)	-	-	440
Operating	171	38	209	-	-	-	2	211
Production and Mineral Taxes	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	3
Netback	274	10	284	-	29	-	-	313
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(35)
Operating Margin								348
Three months ended March 31, 2016								
Gross Sales	291	85	376	363	-	1	4	744
Royalties	17	3	20	-	-	-	-	20
Transportation and Blending	107	3	110	363	(22)	-	-	451
Operating	202	46	248	-	-	(3)	4	249
Production and Mineral Taxes	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	2
Netback	(37)	33	(4)	-	22	4	-	22
(Gain) Loss on Risk Management								(145)
Operating Margin								167

(1) Found in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Netbacks do not reflect non-cash write-downs of product inventory until the inventory is sold.

The following table provides the sales volumes used to calculate Netback.

Sales Volumes

(barrels per day, unless otherwise stated)	2016	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Bitumen					
Foster Creek	69,647	79,827	76,318	62,089	60,169
Christina Lake	79,481	81,398	80,313	76,066	80,118
Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium) and NGLs					
Heavy Oil	28,958	28,833	27,953	28,294	30,764
Light and Medium Oil	25,965	24,903	25,359	26,407	27,210
NGLs	1,065	1,177	1,074	799	1,208
Bitumen, Crude Oil (Heavy, Light and Medium) and NGLs Sales	205,116	216,138	211,017	193,655	199,469
Natural Gas Sales (MMcf per day)	394	379	392	399	408
Total Sales (BOE per day)	270,783	279,305	276,350	260,155	267,469